

Biological Diversity of Non-metric Traits in the Great Moravian Population – the Comparison of the Mikulčice Power Centre and its Hinterland

PETR VELEMÍNSKÝ¹– MILUŠE DOBISÍKOVÁ¹– PETRA STRÁNSKÁ³– JANA VELEMÍNSKÁ²

This study compares different socio-economic groups – Mikulčice castle, the sub-castle area (Kostelisko) and hinterland (Josefov) – on the basis of the variability of non-metric morphological traits. The primary goal was to determine the frequency of around 150 non-metric traits in the largest settlement agglomeration located in Kostelisko (425 graves), and to verify the relationship with sex, or the potential laterality differences in the case of bilateral traits. Then, based on the variability of non-metric traits, we compared individuals buried in the Mikulčice sub-castle with individuals buried on the site of the Mikulčice acropolis itself and in the “rural” burial site at Josefov in the hinterland of the Mikulčice power centre. Based on the incidence of non-metric morphological traits, the population group from the sub-castle area (Kostelisko) is closer to the group from the Mikulčice hinterland (Josefov) than to the individuals from Mikulčice castle. Similarly, the group from Josefov is more akin to the group from Kostelisko than to that from Mikulčice castle. This study supported the previous premise that non-metric traits have a greater predicative value when used to compare smaller population groups originating from a smaller territory.

Key words: non-metric traits – morphology – biological variability – Early Medieval population – Great Moravian period

1. Introduction

Typically, the human skeleton demonstrates extensive variation of structure. This is supported by all sorts of morphological variants of individual bones. A number of these variants are considered to be so-called non-metric, discrete or eventually epigenetic traits. Generally, these involve slight anatomical variants from the common bone structure, which have a certain degree of heritability (the common state most often refers to the most

frequently recorded situations within the relevant human population). Traits are predominantly determined genetically. External (environmental) and internal (physiological) factors affect their incidence, but their contribution is not deemed to be decisive. This at least is valid for cranial traits; it is not so unequivocal in the case of post-cranial traits. A number of these traits are associated with the locomotive, physical activity of the individual, i.e. the non-genetic component plays a more prominent role within the heritability of such traits. In the case of traits relating to ligament attachments, one may argue whether their genetic basis predominates or whether their incidence is contingent to physical activity and the stress exerted on the relevant muscle groups. Most non-metric traits have a low population incidence.

1 Department of Anthropology, National Museum Prague, CZ, petr_veleminsky@nm.cz

2 Department of Anthropology, Charles University, Prague, CZ

3 Department of Natural Sciences, Institute of Archaeology of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, CZ

Knowledge regarding the heritability of discrete traits comes mainly from studies on animals, due to the shortage of suitable human samples, i.e. the skeletal remains of biologically related individuals. The ideal study material comprises skeletal remains from family tombs (e.g. RÖSING 1986a; VELEMÍNSKÝ/DOBISÍKOVÁ 2005; CARSON 2006). In general, it is accepted that non-metric morphological traits have greater heritability than classic metric variables, for which the polygenic pattern of inheritance is presumed (e.g. RÖSING 1986b; HAUSER/DESTAFANO 1989).

Both facts – genetic basis and low incidence – are a positive factor with regard to the application of non-metric traits when comparing population groups (e.g. KOMESU et al. 2007). The predominant opinion is that their predicative value is highest especially for determining the affinity of population groups originating from smaller territories (e.g. RÖSING 1986b; SUTTER/MERTZ 2004; KOMESU et al. 2007). Comparison of geographically, chronologically or rather “racially” distant groups has often led to ambiguously interpreted results (e.g. HALGRÍMSSON et al 2004). Apart from being considered to be indicators of the biological relationships of population groups, they may also inform about evolutionary processes, about the relationship between anatomical modern human and his ancestors (e.g. MANZI/VIENNA/HAUSER 1996; MANZI/GRACIA/ARSUAGA 2000). The final degree of conformity of the incidence of non-metric traits may, under certain circumstances, also indicate the biological kinship of individuals (e.g. ALT/VACH 1998; SJÖVOLD 1986; ČESNYS/TUTKUVIENĖ 2007; STOJANOWSKI et al. 2007).

There exist hundreds of morphological varieties (SAUNDERS 1989). This is not the case of a homogenous group. The discrete character does not apply always and unambiguously. As part of the study of past populations, if we do not take into consideration dental traits, cranial non-metric traits are preferred unequivocally. This is conditional to the aforementioned fact that especially in the case of such traits heritability has been verified and is higher. Cranial traits are also more unequivocally defined and thus their

evaluation is less problematic and as groups they are more homogenous. For example, all so-called epigenetic traits are found on the skull.

Attempts to classify traits have existed since the end of the nineteen-sixties (see OSSENBERG 1969). If we do not take into consideration the classification according to localisation, i.e. the anatomical division, then traits are most often divided into hyperostotic and hypostotic traits (see OSSENBERG 1969, 1977). Traits regarded as hyperostotic are those that have an appearance conditioned by “increased” bone formation. Hypostotic traits, on the other hand, are linked to a shortage of bone tissue, i.e. these are traits whereby bone development is not fully complete and early developmental stages persist into adulthood. The hyperostotic traits, then, include tori (e.g. the mandibular torus), bony bridges (e.g. hypoglossal canal bridging), tubercles (e.g. the precondylar tubercle), as well as vascular and nerve routes in the form of foramina, which occur as a consequence of new bone formation (e.g. the frontal foramen). The hypostotic group includes those changes relating to variations in cranial sutures, i.e. persistent sutures (e.g. the metopic suture), supernumerary ossicles (e.g. the lambda ossicle) or incomplete apertures for vascular and nerve junctions (e.g. oval foramen incompleteness) (e.g. HANIHARA/ISHIDA 2001).

In the Great Moravian population, we used these traits to compare the biological variability of different socio-economic groups – Mikulčice castle, sub-castle and hinterland. The primary goal was to determine the frequency of selected non-metric traits at the largest burial site in the sub-castle area of the Mikulčice power centre, which was uncovered in the Kostelisko position. The relationship between trait incidence and sex, or eventually the preferential laterality of this incidence was tested for all traits.

2. Materials

Based on the incidence of non-metric traits, we compared three population groups.

- The burial site at the Kostelisko position. This is the largest burial site in the sub-castle area of

the Mikulčice power centre, where 425 graves have been uncovered. The burial site has not been dug completely. The skeletal remains of around 456 individuals have been found in the graves. Based on the grave equipment, it may be presumed that higher echelons of society were buried here. From a demographic aspect, females and non-adult individuals are more frequently represented. (VELEMÍNSKÝ 2000; VELEMÍNSKÝ et al. 2005).

- The burial site within the Mikulčice acropolis (castle). The incidence of traits was evaluated in nearly 1000 individuals who were buried principally by the Ist-IInd and IIIrd church (STLOUKAL 1963, 1964, 1967, 1969; STLOUKAL/VYHNÁNEK 1976). It is highly probable that the highest echelons of society lived within the acropolis. Approx. 30 cranial non-metric traits were evaluated (CZARNETZKI 1972).
- The burial site at Josefov within the Mikulčice hinterland. Approx. 170 graves were uncovered in this location. It is presumed that a predominantly agrarian, socially weaker section of the Great Moravian population was buried here (HANÁKOVÁ/STLOUKAL 1966; STRÁNSKÁ et al. 2002). At the Josefov burial site, a nearly identical group of non-metric traits was studied as in the case of the Kostelisko group (BARTONÍČEK 2000; UNZEITIGOVÁ 2000).

3. Methods

We evaluated the incidence of roughly one hundred fifty non-metric traits on the skeletons, of which ninety were located on the skulls and sixty-two on the bones of the post-cranial skeleton. Our methodology was based on the work of FINNEGAN/FAUST (1974), REINHARD/RÖSING (1985), CZARNETZKI et al. (1985), HAUSER/DESTEFANO (1989) and VELEMÍNSKÝ (1999). For purposes of subsequent evaluation, we divided the traits into several groups, according to their character or function. This distribution cannot be taken in complete strictness, as the classification of certain traits is problematic. These traits related to:

- A - Skull sutures (Epigenetic variants).
- B - Presence, absence or nature of foramina – vascular outlets or nerve routes.
- C - Presence, absence or nature of joint facets and their alterations.
- D - Disorders of ossification, non-union of the ossification centres or their absence.
- Ea - Hyperostotic activity, with the presence of osseous tori, tubercles, spines or bridges.
- Eb - Changes in the region of muscle/ligament attachments – loss of bone tissue or fibrous ossification resulting in the genesis of osseous prominences (enthesopathies). This is associated with marked long-term stress acting upon relevant muscle groups. According to OSSENBERG (1977) these are termed hypostotic traits.

A list of the studied traits is included in Table 1. The name of the given trait is followed by the abbreviation of the bone, where the given trait was located.

Table 1. List of evaluated non-metric morphological traits.

A. traits related to cranial sutures (epigenetic variants)

sutura metopica
sutura supranalis
fissura metopica
ossiculum metopicum
sutura parametopica
ossiculum suturae coronalis
ossiculum internasalis
ossiculum praefrontale
lamina orbitalis partita
os zygomaticum partitum
fissura zygomatica transversa
sutura infraorbitale
sutura incisiva
ossiculum medianum palatinum anterior
ossiculum medianum palatinum posterior
ossiculum epiptericum
sutura frontotemporalis
processus frontalis ossis temporalis
processus temporalis ossis frontalis

facies articularis acromialis
facies articularis acromialis absens
facies articularis processus coracoidei
facies articularis carpalis partita (Ra)
facies articularis trochlearis partita (Ul)
incisura radialis partita (Ul)
facies lunata partita (Co)
facies Poirieri (Fe)
riding facet (Fe)
facies Charlesi (Fe)
fac.articularis condylaris media (Fe)
facies articularis tibiae accessoria medialis (Ti)
facies articularis tibiae accessoria lateralis (Ti)
facies articularis talaris anterior et media (Ca)
facies articularis talaris anterior et media communis (Ca)
facies articularis talaris anterior bipartita (Ca)
facies articularis talaris media bipartita (Ca)
facies articularis talaris absens (Ca)
facies articularis calcanea anterior et media (Ta)
facies articularis calcanea anterior et media communis (Ta)
facies articularis calcanea anterior absens (Ta)
facies articularis medialis talaris (Ta)
processus trochlearis tali lateralis (Ta)
processus trochlearis tali medialis (Ta)
foramen supratrochleare (Hu)
incisura faciei lunatae (Co)
plate formation (Fe)
fossa Alleni (Fe)

D. Traits related to disorders of ossification, non-union of the ossification centres or their absence

assimilatio atlantis
ossiculum dens axis
spina bifida sacralis
vertebrae absentes
vertebrae accessoriae
fenestratio sterni
ossiculum acromii
ossiculum coracoideum
ossiculum styloideum radii
ossiculum styloideum ulnae
synostosis acetabuli incompleta
patella multipartita
ossiculum trigonum tali
depressio biparietalis circumscripta

EA. Traits related to hyperostotic activity, with the presence osseous tori, tubercles, spines or bridges

spina trochlearis
tuberculum marginale
tuberculum zygomaxillare
torus palatinus
torus maxillaris
spina suprameatica
depressio suprameatica
torus acusticus
torus occipitalis
processus retromastoideus
tuberculum praecondylare
tuberculum pharyngeum
processus paracondylaris
torus mandibularis
processus supracondylaris
foramen supracondylare
trochanter tertius

EB. Traits related to changes in the area of muscle/ligament attachments – loss of bone tissue or fibrous ossification resulting in the genesis of osseous prominencies (enthesopathies). According to OSSENBERG (1977) hypoostotic traits.

fossa pharyngea
linea nuchae suprema
fossa costoclavicularis
fossa pectoralis major
fossa teres
fossa bicipitis
fossa hypotrochanterica
crista hypotrochanterica
fossa gastrocnemica
incisura musculi vasti lateralis (Pa)
fossa musculi vasti lateralis(Pa)
fossa solei
crista solei

We expressed the incidence of bilaterally incident non-metric traits in two forms. As the so-called “average incidence of trait per individual” i.e. the number of individuals with the trait present on one or eventually both sides divided by the total number of individuals evaluated (e.g. SJØVOLD 1986). The difference between unilateral and bilateral incidence is thus ignored. This method

of expression does not take into account those cases, where only the bone from one side of the body has been preserved. The average incidence of unilateral traits was calculated similarly. Another form of calculation involved the so-called “average incidence on the (non-concrete) side of the skull”. Here, the number of sides with the given trait present is divided by the number of sides where this presence could be verified. Thus, in the case of completely preserved skeletons, the number of “cases” doubles. This method, which is most widely used (e.g. HAUSER/DESTEFANO 1989), does not take into consideration the laterality differences of trait incidence. Finally, it is also possible to determine the “average incidence for a concrete side”, where the number of “right side” trait incidences is divided by the number of “right side” bones evaluated. The same applies to the left-side manifestation of traits. This process takes into account the difference between unilateral and bilateral incidence, and the laterality of incidence may subsequently enable the inference of the average incidence per individual.

During evaluation, we took into consideration sexual dimorphism, the correlation between the incidence of traits and the age of individuals, and in the case of bilateral traits the preference for a symmetrical/asymmetrical incidence. To verify the difference in the incidence of non-metric traits in both sexes, and to verify the laterality preference in the case of bilateral traits, we used tests for the comparison of nominal traits in independent selection – four-field tables (Tables 2 x 2), Yates correction of the chi square test, Fisher’s test of homogeneity and McNemar’s test (e.g. ZVÁRA 1998, 1999). The starting null hypothesis presumed that the trait occurs with the same probability in the groups compared. We used the aforementioned tests only to determine whether a concurrence in the incidence does or does not exist, not to determine how great the eventual difference (dependence) of trait frequency is in the groups compared. The test calculations were conducted with the aid of the STATISTICA 5.0 (StatSoft, Inc.) software and Microsoft Excel 2000.

We used the so-called measure of divergence (MD) and mean measure of divergence (MMD) to verify the biological distance between the population groups on the basis of non-metric traits (e.g. SJØVOLD 1973, 1977; ZVÁRA 1999). This basically involves the determination of the degree of non-similarity of the measured probabilities (ZVÁRA 1999). We also used this test criterion to verify the probable incidence of traits in groups with different grave equipment. The starting null hypothesis presumes that a trait occurs with the same probability in both compared groups.

If the groups were compared only on the basis of the incidence of one trait, the measure of divergence (MD) was calculated.

$$MD = (\theta_1 - \theta_2) - \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)$$

The condition governing its calculation is the arcusin transformation of the average incidence of the trait in both groups.

$$\theta_1 = \arcsin(1 - 2p_1) \quad \theta_2 = \arcsin(1 - 2p_2)$$

We verified the fact, whether the value of MD is statistically significant at the 95% confidence interval on the basis of the formula (ZVÁRA 1999):

$$MD - 1,96\sqrt{s_{MD}^2}, \quad MD + 1,96\sqrt{s_{MD}^2}$$

Where the value 1.96 is the critical value of normal distribution and s_{MD}^2 is the estimate of variance. The variance of the degree of divergence was calculated using the formula:

$$S_{MD}^2 = 2\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)(2 \arcsin(1 - 2p_1) - \arcsin(1 - 2p_2))^2 + \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)$$

If among the compared populations there is no difference in the probability of incidence of the trait, the following applies:

$$S_{MD}^2 = 2\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)^2$$

The confidence interval characterises the interval, which with 95% probability includes the real value of the given parameter. If the null hypothesis

applies, then the value of MD has a zero median value, i.e. if the 95% confidence interval for MD includes zero, then at the 5% level of significance the starting null hypothesis cannot be rejected. On the contrary, if it does not include zero, then the null hypothesis is rejected.

When we compared the groups on the basis of trait groups, we calculated the mean measure of divergence (MMD), which is the average of measures of divergence for the individual traits compared. A precondition for the application of MMD is the independence of the traits compared.

$$MMD = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k [(\arcsin(1-2p_{1i}))^2 - \arcsin(1-2p_{2i})^2 - (\frac{1}{n_{1i}} + \frac{1}{n_{2i}})]$$

Determination of the 95% confidence interval is the same as in the case of MD. If the interval does not include a zero value, we may conclude that both groups differ in the incidence of traits at the 5% level of significance (ZVÁRA 1999).

Where

$$S_{MMD} = \frac{2}{k^2} \sum_{i=1}^k (\frac{1}{n_{1i}} + \frac{1}{n_{2i}}) [2(\arcsin(1-2p_{1i}) - \arcsin(1-2p_{2i}))^2 + (\frac{1}{n_{1i}} + \frac{1}{n_{2i}})]$$

$$S^2_{MMD} = \frac{2}{k^2} \sum_{i=1}^k (\frac{1}{n_{1i}} + \frac{1}{n_{2i}})^2$$

Another means of verifying the validity of the concurrence of trait incidence probability in two populations, the statistical provability of the MD (MMD) value, has been previously proposed by SJØVOLD (1973):

MD will be significant at the 5% level of significance if the following is true:

$$MD \geq 3 (\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})$$

MD will be significant at the 1% level of significance, if the following is true:

$$MD \geq 6 (\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})$$

As long as we tested the concurrence of two MD values acquired on the basis of the comparison of

the incidence of the same trait in three population groups, i.e. the values MD₁₂ and MD₁₃, we applied Zvára's procedure (oral communication; ZVÁRA 1999). We proceeded analogically in the case of the values of the mean measure of divergence (MMD₁₂, MMD₁₃).

$$Z = \frac{MD_{12} - MD_{13}}{\sqrt{\text{var}_{12} + \text{var}_{13} - 2\text{cov}}}$$

Where the statistical provability of the difference of both values is determined by the level of probability p (p=0.05, p=0.01, p=0.005).

$$\text{var}_{12} = 2^* [\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}]^* [2 (\arcsin(1-2^*p_1) - \arcsin(1-2p_2))^2 + (\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})]$$

$$\text{var}_{13} = 2^* [\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}]^* [2 (\arcsin(1-2^*p_1) - \arcsin(1-2p_3))^2 + (\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_3})]$$

$$\text{cov} = \frac{2}{n_1}^* [\frac{1}{n_1} + 2^* (\arcsin(1-2p_i) - \arcsin(1-2p_2))^* (\arcsin(1-2p_1) - \arcsin(1-2p_3))]$$

4. Results and Discussion

The primary goal of this work was to determine the incidence of non-metric morphological traits in individuals buried at the Kostelisko position and to determine the average frequency of traits for the population group representing the Mikulčice sub-castle. Subsequently, in view of our other objectives, we verified in the case of all traits whether there exist statistically conclusive differences in their incidence among both sexes. This relationship must be kept in mind when we compare population groups with different demographic structures. If there exist statistically significant differences, it is better to exclude the given traits from the comparison, or it is necessary to take this fact into consideration when interpreting results. In the case of bilateral traits, we tested the preference of laterality and symmetrical incidence.

4.1 Non-metric traits in the Mikulčice-Kostelisko group

On the bones from the Mikulčice-Kostelisko burial site, we evaluated the incidence of approximately one hundred fifty non-metric traits, of which around ninety were cranial traits. The average frequencies of individual traits are listed in Table 2. In the case of traits located on paired bones, we calculated not only the average incidence expressed per individual, but also the average incidence of laterality (for a non-specified side).

In the case of the first calculation, relatively frequent situations whereby only one bone out of a pair lacking the trait is preserved are not included, as the presence of the trait on the other side could not be ruled out. If the trait is present, the „individual“ is included. The fact whether a trait occurs symmetrically or only unilaterally is thus not taken into consideration. Expression of the incidence of bilateral non-metric traits on a non-specified side testifies to both aforementioned properties. The average population frequencies thus acquired are for this reason always lower. We consider this expression of the average incidence to be more objective. The calculation of the average incidence using various methods is also necessary for the potential comparison with other research.

4.1.1 Relationship between the incidence of non-metric traits and sex.

We verified whether the incidence of a certain trait is influenced by the sex of an individual with the aid of the four-field table, the so-called Yates correction, a modification of the chi-square test for low frequency and Fisher's test. As mentioned

previously, this relationship must be taken into consideration when comparing population groups with various demographic structures. The frequency of individual traits in males and females, as well as the values of the test criterion and the relevant level of significance are listed in Table 3. In the case of bilateral traits, we used average “side” frequency for our comparison. Statistically significant values of test criteria are differentiated by the type of script. If the trait was more frequent in males, values are in bold type. If it was more frequent in females, values are in bold italics. If the value p in the table is zero (less than 0.001), we exclude the independence of incidence at the 0.001 level of significance. Traits with a statistically different incidence in both sexes are summarised in Table 4.

The incidence of *facies articularis calcanea anterior et media communis* was borderline statistically significant. This, logically in view of the incidence of *facies articularis calcanea anterior et media*, occurred more frequently in females. In the case of analogical traits on the calcaneus, there were no such differences; a junction between the anterior and central calcaneal articular facet was more frequent. A similar situation was also observed in the case of articular surfaces on the posterior side of the lower section of the femur—*facies Charlesi* and *facies articularis condylaris media*. In males, the incidence of an independent *facies Charlesi* was more frequent, while in females the articular surface of the internal condyle—*facies articularis condylaris media* was elongated. These traits are also placed in the context of frequent persistence in a position necessary for the hyper-dorsal flexion

Table 4. Non-metric morphological traits with a statistically different incidence in both sexes.

Explanatory notes: * level of significance $p = 0.05$ ** level of significance $p = 0.01$ *** level of significance $p = 0.001$

incidence more frequent among males		incidence more frequent among females	
sutura supranasalis***	proc.trochl.tali lat. (Ta)*	fos. costoclavicularis***	oss. epiptericum*
oss. sut. lambdoideae*	depr. suprameatica***	fos. pectoralis major**	for. hypogl. part.**
vertebrae accessoriae**	spina suprameatica*	fos. bicipitis **	
fac. art. sup. part. (C1)***	torus acusticus***	fos. hypotrochanterica**	
facies Poirieri (Fe)*	torus occipitalis*		
fac. art. calc. ant. et med. (Ta)*	tuberc.praecondylare*		

of the joints between the instep ossicles and phalanges. An example of this may be any activity during which it is necessary to crouch and weight is placed on the knee and ankle (UBELAKER 1979; CAPASSO et al. 1999).

The results (see Table 4) indicate a generally more frequent incidence of isolated accessory articular facets in males than in females, where the trend is rather towards a prolongation of the articular surface or the fusion of facets. In males, there was also a greater incidence of traits in the areas of ligament/muscle attachments, which are placed in the context of more significant stress being placed on the relevant muscle groups, and of hyperostotic traits.

In females, there was a greater incidence of foramen supratrochleare on the humerus, but this difference was not statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 2.99$).

4.1.2 Bilateral non-metric morphological traits

In the case of bilaterally present morphological traits, there may exist differences in laterality incidence, or a symmetrical incidence may be preferred.

4.1.2.1 Preference of laterality incidence

In traits located on paired bones, the frequency of incidence may be expressed for a concrete side. One may then monitor whether the given trait does not occur more frequently on a certain side. Table 5 includes the average incidence on the right and left side in the case of bilateral traits. This table also contains a verification of laterality preference in incidence, for which we again used the four-field tables and Yates correction, the modification of the chi-square test for low frequency. Apart from this, we also applied McNemar's test, as "both" traits occur on the same object. When using the first two test criteria (depending on frequency), for all traits, no statistically significant difference in incidence on the right and left side was found. McNemar's test showed statistically significant differences in the case of five traits (see Table 6).

4.1.2.2 Asymmetrical incidence of morphological traits

We then verified, whether bilateral non-metric traits occur more often bilaterally (symmetrically) or unilaterally (asymmetrically). Verification of the preference for a symmetrical or asymmetrical

Table 6. Bilateral morphological traits with a statistically preferred incidence on a concrete side.

Explanatory notes: * level of significance, chi-square test $p = 0.05$ ** level of significance $p = 0.01$ *** level of significance $p = 0.001$

incidence more frequent at right side		incidence more frequent at left side	
fac. art. acces. cost. (Cl)**	fac. art. tal. ant. et media com. (Ca) *	for. condylaris	sulcus frontalis ***
	spina suprameatica *		

Table 8. Bilaterally occurring morphological traits with a preferred symmetrical or asymmetrical incidence.

Explanatory notes: see Tab. 6

trait with a preferred symmetrical incidence		trait with a preferred asymmetrical incidence	
sutura infraorbitale***	fac. art. tal. ant. et med. com. (Ca)***	tuber. zygomax.***	for. et inc. supraorb.***
sutura incisiva***	fac. art. calc. ant. et med. com. (Ta)***		
	depr. suprameatica***	for. supraorbitale***	
oss. sut. lambdoideae**	inc. fac. lunatae (Co)***	spina suprameatica***	for. hypogl. part.***
fac. art. acromialis**	for. et inc. frontalis***	linea nuchae supr.***	fossa teres*
fac. art. cond. media (Fe)***	incisura frontalis***	crista hypotrochant.**	
fac. art. tib. acc. lat. (Ti)***	for. pr. trans. part. C1-7**		
fac. art. tal. ant. et med. (Ca)***	tuber. marginale***		

incidence is included in Table 7. Listed first are the frequencies of possible combinations of presence/absence. Next, we list the actual values of test criteria with the relevant level of significance (four-field table, Yates correction). A statistically significant preference for symmetrical incidence was found in nineteen morphological traits, while an asymmetrical incidence was more frequent only in the case of four traits (see Table 8).

4.2 Comparison of the Mikulčice power centre and its hinterland on the basis of non-metric traits.

In the following section, we compared the individuals buried at Kostelisko, i.e. in the area of Mikulčice sub-castle, with individuals buried on the territory of the Mikulčice acropolis (CZARNETZKI 1972) and the “rural” burial site at Josefov within the hinterland of the Mikulčice power centre (BARTONIČEK 2000; UNZEITIGOVÁ 2000), based on the variability of non-metric traits.

4.2.1 Mikulčice sub-castle (Kostelisko) and Mikulčice castle

We first tried to determine whether the occurrence of non-metric traits differs in two probably different socio-economical groups and at the same time within one locality. The comparative data was provided by the research of CZARNETZKI (1972), which studied the incidence of around forty morphological traits on the skeletons from Mikulčice burial sites I, II and III (e.g. STLOUKAL/VYHNÁNEK 1976). We included twenty-four of

these in our evaluation. The basis of his group was formed of individuals buried near the three-aisled basilica (Mikulčice burial site II). Our study, thus basically compares the most extensive burial site on the territory of Mikulčice castle and sub-castle. Both burial sites show from an archaeological aspect a number of analogies (grave inventory, superposition of graves, similar arrangement of burial holes) (e.g. STAŇA 1997). From a demographic aspect, though, they are considerably different (index of masculinity, representation of adults/children, average neonatal lifespan etc.) (VELEMÍNSKÝ et al. 2005). The demographic indicators support the general premise that the older section of the population, mainly males, lived in the castle.

For comparison, we used the frequency of traits expressed as the average incidence per person (see Table 2). This same method was also used by CZARNETZKI (1972) to express incidence. We verified the difference in the incidence of the aforementioned twenty two morphological traits by calculating the measure of divergence (MD). Taking into consideration the determination of the MD confidence interval, we also calculated the variance of this statistical criterion (S^2_{MD}). If the confidence interval did not contain zero, the difference was at the 5% level of significance. Significant values are listed in the table in medium bold type. To verify the statistical significance of MD, we also used the “weaker” test criterion, SJØVOLD’s procedure (1973). Significant values are then in italics. We consider

Table 10. Comparison of the burial site at Kostelisko with the group of individuals from Mikulčice castle (CZARNETZKI 1972) on the basis of the incidence of morphological traits with the aid of the mean measure of divergence. The traits in which both groups differed statistically significantly are in bold type.

Explanatory notes: MMD=mean measure of divergence; S^2_{MMD} =dispersion of MMD; $MDD \pm 1,96 S^2_{MMD}$ =dispersion endpoints

trait group	MMD	S^2_{mmd}	$MDD-1,96 S^2_{mmd}$	$MDD+1,96 S^2_{mmd}$
cranial sutures	0,01867	0,00208	-0,07069	0,10803
vessel and nervous foramina	0,45944	0,00589	0,30901	0,60988
hyperostotic traits	0,06653	0,00152	-0,00985	0,14292
areas of muscle/fibrous insertions	0,15312	0,00537	0,00945	0,29678
Total	0,1621	0,00086	0,10469	0,21951

the first method of verification of MD statistical significance to be more conclusive (Table 9).

Results of the comparison of individuals from Kostelisko and the acropolis are listed in Table 9. A statistically significant difference with regards to variance of the measure of divergence (ZVÁRA 1999) was found in the case of five traits. The greatest difference was in the incidence of the *incisura supraorbitalis*, followed by the foramen infraorbitale partitum, the *foramen hypoglossalis bipartitus*, the *torus acusticus* and the *fossa hypotrochanterica*. According to Sjøvold's criteria, a further six traits differed in incidence at the same level of significance – the *ossiculum suturae lambdoidea*, the extension of the articular surface of the *caput femoris* on the anterior side of the femoral neck (plate formation, *facies Poirieri*), the foramen supraorbitale, the *fossa pectoralis major et teres*, the *fossa solei*. This means that both groups differed in the incidence of all traits associated with places of muscle/ligament attachment (Table 10).

In the next step, we calculated the mean measures of divergence (MMD) with the help of MD values. The MMD determines the degree of convergence or divergence of the compared groups on the basis of a set of morphological traits. MMD is an average value of MD. We calculated the mean measure of divergence in part on the basis of the MD values of traits of the same character or function, and in part for the whole group of compared traits. With regard to the verification of the level of significance, we once again calculated the variance (S^2_{MMD}) and determined the endpoints of the ninety five percent confidence interval. Similarly as in the case of MD, if the interval did not reach a zero value, we considered the incidence of the group of traits to be different at a 5% level of significance; these values are in medium-bold type (see Table 10). The resulting MMD values show that the compared groups differ in the incidence of traits relating to vascular and nerve apertures and to traits associated with sites of muscle attachments; while the incidence of traits in the area of cranial sutures and those of hyperostotic character is more or less similar (Table 10). The resulting value of the mean measure of divergence

is 0.16210. Some authors multiply the values of MMD and MD by one thousand for greater clarity; we then get a value of 162.1.

4.2.2 Mikulčice sub-castle (Kostelisko) and Mikulčice hinterland (Josefov)

The second population group with which we compared the Kostelisko burial site was Josefov. This is a Great Moravian burial site with around 170 graves. It was located approx. ten kilometres northwest of the Mikulčice-Valy locality. It is generally presumed that members of the agricultural settlement were buried here. Compared to the Mikulčice burial site, the grave inventory was very poor. Burials of females and children clearly predominated at this burial site. In view of this fact, hypotheses have been formulated regarding the possible displacement of males into nearby Mikulčice for reasons of work or military service. From a demographic aspect, Kostelisko is not too different from Josefov (HANÁKOVÁ/STLOUKAL 1966; STLOUKAL/VYHNÁNEK 1976; STRÁNSKÁ et al. 2002), but there exist differences in the representation of graves with a wealthy inventory (for example swords) (UNZEITIGOVÁ 2000). This is thus a more or less opposite situation to that when comparing Kostelisko with individuals buried in the castle. This comparison was supposed to show to what extent the incidence of morphological non-metric traits differs, despite the geographically close localities. It may be seen as the verification of the concurrence (difference) of people living in the central, “rich” settlement agglomeration and the inhabitants of the smaller, poorer, rural settlement.

The selection of traits evaluated for the Josefov burial site was identical to the traits studied in Kostelisko (BARTONÍČEK 2000; UNZEITIGOVÁ 2000). The methodology of evaluating individual traits was unified with the authors. We thus had the opportunity to compare both population groups on the basis of nearly all monitored traits; we were able to select also the laterality expression of bilateral traits.

With regards to the comparability of MMD values between Kostelisko and Josefov, which

characterise the difference between the inhabitants of Mikulčice castle and Kostelisko or Josefov, we compared both groups on the basis of the same traits, on which the previous comparison had been based. The results are illustrated by Table 11. With regards to the confidence interval, both groups differ at the 5% level of significance in the case of only two traits— torus palatinus and

torus acusticus. If we verify the statistical significance of MD using Sjøvold's method, there will be a difference in the incidence of another eight traits (Table 11). The mean measure of divergence has a statistically significant value only on the case of those traits associated with points of muscle/ligament insertion. The resultant mean measure of divergence has a value of 0.08738 (87.4).

Table 12a. Comparison of the burial site at Kostelisko and the burial site at Josefov (BARTONÍČEK 2000; UNZEITIGOVÁ 2000) using the mean measure of divergence (on the basis of the same traits as in the case of the castle/sub-castle comparison). The traits in which both groups differed statistically significantly are in bold type.

For explanatory notes see Tab. 10.

trait group	MMD	S ² mmd	MDD-1,96 S ² mmd	MDD+1,96 S ² mmd
cranial sutures	0,29402	0,005463	0,14916	0,43888
vessel and nervous foramina	0,09566	0,01305	-0,12827	0,31959
hyperostotic traits	0,39762	0,00402	0,27336	0,52187
areas of muscle/fibrous insertions	0,36048	0,01279	0,13884	0,58211
Total	0,31234	0,00204	0,22377	0,4009

Table 12b. Comparison of the burial site at Kostelisko and the burial site at Josefov (BARTONÍČEK 2000; UNZEITIGOVÁ 2000) using the mean measure of divergence. The traits in which both groups differed statistically significantly are in bold type.

For explanatory notes see Tab. 10.

trait group	MMD	S ² mmd	MDD-1,96 S ² mmd	MDD+1,96 S ² mmd
cranial sutures	0,17384	0,0021	0,08409	0,26359
ossification' abnormalities	-0,02653	0,02715	-0,34946	0,2964
articular facets	0,50029	0,0072	0,33395	0,66664
"disorder" of articular facets	0,467	0,01348	0,23944	0,69456
vessel and nervous foramina	0,4424	0,00895	0,25703	0,62778
hyperostotic traits	0,20078	0,00394	0,07782	0,32375
areas of muscle/fibrous insertions	0,40092	0,00542	0,25657	0,54528
Total	0,32248	0,00125	0,25321	0,39176

Table 14. Comparison of the population groups from the castle and hinterland (Josefov) using the mean measure of divergence. The traits in which both groups differed statistically significantly are in bold type.

For explanatory notes see Tab. 10.

trait group	MMD	S ² mmd	MDD-1,96 S ² mmd	MDD+1,96 S ² mmd
cranial sutures	0,10939	0,036	-0,0822	0,22700
vessel and nervous foramina	0,89057	0,02434	0,58479	1,19635
hyperostotic traits	0,50288	0,00525	0,3608	0,64496
the areas of muscle/fibrous insertions	0,3662	0,00288	-0,0687	0,14189
Total	0,31883	0,00186	0,2344	0,40325

When we compared the incidence of all monitored morphological traits in the case of both localities, the incidence of the following nine traits was at the 5% level of significance: *facies articularis carpalis partita*, *facies aricularis trochlearis partita*, *facies Poirieri*, *incisura faciei lunatae*, *canalis condylaris*, *spina trochlearis*, *torus palatinus*, *torus acusticus* and *crista solei*. With the exception of the *canalis condylaris*, these traits occurred more frequently in Kostelisko. Tables 12a and 12b testifies to the small differences between both localities. Only the mean measure of divergence, calculated on the basis of the incidence of traits relating to the character of joint surfaces, had a statistically significant value. The total MMD is 78.2 (0.07816) that is lower than in the previous case, where the comparison was based only on the smaller group of traits. If we take into consideration that MMD is an average value, then this situation with regard to the individual MDs is logical.

4.2.3 Mikulčice castle and Mikulčice hinterland (Josefov)

We then compared the population groups from the castle and hinterland. The MD value of two traits lay on the 5% statistical level of significance – *torus palatinus* and *trochanter tertius*. In the case of Sjøvold's verification of the level of significance, these groups differ in the incidence of seven traits, including two already mentioned (see Table 13). The mean measure of divergence is significant at the 5% level only for the group of traits with a hyperostotic character. The resulting value of MMD is approximately 99 (0.9891).

Josefov thus again, as in the comparison with Kostelisko, showed a lower incidence of traits that essentially involve hyperostotic activity (tori, tubercles etc.) (Table 14). If we again take into consideration certain previous verifications of the dependence of the incidence of morphological traits on sex (e.g. ČESNYS 1986), it cannot be ruled out that the small percentual representation of these traits is affected by the demographic composition of the group from Josefov, where females predominate. On the other hand, the index of masculinity in Kostelisko is similarly low (VELEMÍNSKÝ et al. 2005).

4.2.4 Mikulčice castle, Mikulčice sub-castle (Kostelisko) and Mikulčice hinterland (Josefov)

Verification of the degree of divergence of MD values acquired on the basis of the comparison of the incidence of the same trait in the Kostelisko, Mikulčice castle and Josefov groups was conducted in accordance with the procedure proposed by K. ZVÁRA (1999, oral communication). We always tested two MD values acquired by comparing one group with two others on the basis of the same trait. For example, the MD value between the groups Kostelisko/castle was compared with the MD determined between Kostelisko and Josefov. The resultant values, so-called Z-criteria- are listed in Tables 15-17.

In table 15, MD is compared between the group from the sub-castle area (Kostelisko) and the group from Mikulčice hinterland (Josefov) and between the group from the sub-castle and the group from Mikulčice castle. In the incidence of *foramen condylaris absens*, *foramen hypoglossalis bipartitus* and *processus marginalis*, Kostelisko was more remote from the population group from Mikulčice castle than from the burial site at Josefov. In all cases, the difference was at the 1% level of statistical significance. In the case of the *torus palatinus* trait, the situation was opposite. Kostelisko was more remote from Josefov than from the castle group. The difference was approx. at the 5% level of significance. Kostelisko differed from the castle in the incidence of more traits than in the case of the population group from Josefov.

Table 16 contain a comparison of MD values between the group from the Mikulčice hinterland (Josefov) and that from the sub-castle (Kostelisko) and of MD values between the group from the hinterland and Mikulčice castle. In the incidence of *ossicula suturae lambdoideae*, Josefov differed more significantly from Kostelisko than from the group from Mikulčice castle ($p=0.05$). While in the incidence of the enlargement of the articular surface of the head on the femoral neck, the *foramen condylaris*, the *foramen hypoglossalis bipartitus* and the *processus marginalis* ($p=0.001$) there was a more significant difference between

Table 15. Verification of the differences in MD values acquired by comparing the population group from the sub-castle (Kostelisko) with the burial site at Josefov (BARTONÍČEK 2000; UNZEITIGOVÁ 2000) and with individuals from Mikulčice castle (CZARNETZKI 1972).

Explanatory notes: n=number of individuals; x=number of cases, where the trait occurred; MD12=measure of divergence between the 1st and 2nd group, MD13= measure of divergence between the 1st and 3rd group; Z-criterion; p=level of significance reached

	Mikulčice sub-castle (Kostelisko)		Mikulčice-hinterland (Josefov)		Mikulčice-castle		MD12	MD13	Z	p
	n1	x1	n2	x2	n3	x3				
sutura metopica	297	23	117	12	967	98	0,0050	0,0112	-0,2606	0,7944
oss. sut. coronalis	151	4	86	11	698	30	0,3370	0,0088	3,1448	0,0017
oss. epiptericum	75	19	54	18	394	76	0,0751	0,0437	0,3166	0,7515
sut. frontotemp.	121	3	51	1	457	12	-0,0251	-0,0103	-0,3629	0,7167
oss. bregmatic.	217	1	93	-	719	1	-0,0089	0,0005	-0,2526	0,8006
oss. sut. sagittal.	180	13	103	10	692	30	0,0024	0,0254	-0,5326	0,5943
oss. lambdae	99	20	87	13	702	125	0,0273	-0,0017	0,6583	0,5103
oss. sut. lambdaoid.	175	42	89	44	658	281	1,9394	0,6767	10,3673	0,0000
fac. condyl. bipartita	87	5	43	3	476	10	-0,0291	0,0643	-1,2549	0,2095
enlargement of cap. femoris	53	19	53	5	406	210	1,2167	0,1803	3,1422	0,0017
for.et inc. suporb.	210	19	98	4						
for. ethmoidale absens	101	2	39	2	241	4	0,0275	-0,0127	0,4723	0,6367
for. infraorb. absens	115	-	80	2					
for. condylaris absens	126	35	49	7	545	477	0,0839	1,7045	-4,9057	0,0000
for. hypogl. bipart.	179	50	52	13	546	15	-0,0204	0,6020	-4,7159	0,0000
proc. marginalis absens	161	44	50	10	521	483	0,0037	2,2253	-7,4069	0,0000
torus palatinus	221	44	71	1	605	147	0,4535	0,0050	2,2786	0,0227
torus acusticus	217	41	75	3	882	33	0,2287	0,2544	-0,2100	0,8336
tuberc. pharyng. absens	196	55	56	19	583	194	-0,0068	0,0060	-0,2682	0,7886
troch. tertius	84	20	39	4	668	194	0,0975	0,0007	0,5804	0,5616
linea nuchae supr.	94	39	81	21						
fossa pector.maj.	121	12	64	13	760	98	0,0627	-0,0008	0,7173	0,4732
fossa teres	128	11	62	8	748	111	-0,0044	0,0292	-0,6548	0,5126
fossa hypotroch.	160	18	66	24	824	349	0,3513	0,5301	-1,0997	0,2715
fossa solei	99	28	67	14	733	129	0,0046	0,0539	-0,8326	0,4050

Table 16. Verification of the differences in MD values acquired by comparing the population group from the hinterland (Josefov) (BARTONÍČEK 2000; UNZEITIGOVÁ 2000) with Kostelisko and with individuals from Mikulčice castle (CZARNETZKI 1972).

Explanatory notes: see Tab. 15

	Mikulčice-hinterland (Josefov)		Mikulčice-sub-castle (Kostelisko)		Mikulčice-castle		MD12	MD13	Z	p
	n1	x1	n2	x2	n3	x3				
sutura metopica	117	12	297	23	967	98	-0,0042	-0,0096	0,2364	0,8132
oss. sut. coronalis	86	11	151	4	698	30	0,1454	0,0854	0,7908	0,4290
oss. epiptericum	54	18	75	19	394	76	-0,0008	0,0823	-1,0909	0,2753

	Mikulčice-hinterland (Josefov)		Mikulčice-sub-castle (Kostelisko)		Mikulčice-castle		MD12	MD13	Z	p
	n1	x1	n2	x2	n3	x3				
sut. frontotemp.	51	1	121	3	457	12	-0,0266	-0,0198	-0,2123	0,8319
oss. bregmatic.	93	-	217	1	719	1	0,0031	-0,0066	0,3345	0,7380
oss. sut. sagittal.	103	10	180	13	692	30	-0,0072	0,0347	-1,1282	0,2592
oss. lambdae	87	13	99	20	702	125	-0,0024	-0,0069	0,1090	0,9132
oss. sut. lambdaoid.	89	44	175	42	658	281	0,2699	0,0055	2,2135	0,0269
fac. condyl. bipartita	43	3	87	5	476	10	-0,0322	0,0340	-0,8829	0,3773
enlargement of cap. femoris	53	5	53	19	406	210	0,3972	0,9408	-2,3743	0,0176
for. et inc. suporb.	98	4	210	19						
for. ethmoidale absens	39	2	101	2	241	4	-0,0051	0,0096	-0,2454	0,8062
for. infraorb. absens	80	2	115	-						
for. condylaris absens	49	7	126	35	545	477	0,0839	2,6817	-6,4092	0,0000
for. hypogl. bipart.	52	13	179	50	546	15	-0,0204	0,4890	-2,2477	0,0246
proc. marginalis absens	50	10	161	44	521	483	0,0037	2,7582	-6,1364	0,0000
torus palatinus	71	1	221	44	605	147	0,4535	0,6131	-1,3618	0,1733
torus acusticus	75	3	217	41	882	33	0,2287	-0,0143	1,7735	0,0761
tuberc. pharyng. absens	56	19	196	55	583	194	-0,0068	-0,0194	0,2966	0,7668
troch. tertius	39	4	84	20	668	194	0,0975	0,2093	-1,0684	0,2854
linea nuchae supr.	81	21	94	39						
fossa pector. maj.	64	13	121	12	760	98	0,0627	0,0233	0,5977	0,5500
fossa teres	62	8	128	11	748	111	-0,0044	-0,0143	0,1607	0,8724
fossa hypotroch.	66	24	160	18	824	349	0,3513	-0,0013	1,7103	0,0872
fossa solei	67	14	99	28	733	129	0,0046	-0,0093	0,1782	0,8585

Table 17. Verification of the differences in MD values acquired by comparing individuals from Mikulčice castle (CZARNETZKI 1972) with the burial site at Josefov (BARTONÍČEK 2000; UNZEITIGOVÁ 2000) and with the burial site at Kostelisko. Explanatory notes: see tab. 15

	Mikulčice-sub-castle (Kostelisko)		Mikulčice-hinterland (Josefov)		Mikulčice-castle		MD12	MD13	Z	p
	n1	x1	n2	x2	n3	x3				
sutura metopica	967	98	297	23	117	12	0,0026	-0,0096	0,6570	0,5112
oss. sut. coronalis	698	30	151	4	86	11	0,0002	0,0854	-1,0828	0,2789
oss. epiptericum	394	76	75	19	54	18	0,0053	0,0823	-0,7531	0,4514
sut. frontotemp.	457	12	121	3	51	1	-0,0104	-0,0198	0,2601	0,7948
oss. bregmatic.	719	1	217	1	93	-	-0,0022	-0,0066	0,1561	0,8760
oss. sut. sagittal.	692	30	180	13	103	10	0,0085	0,0347	-0,5226	0,6012
oss. lambdae	702	125	99	20	87	13	-0,0078	-0,0069	-0,0260	0,9792
oss. sut. lambdaoid.	658	281	175	42	89	44	0,1531	0,0055	1,8097	0,0703
fac. condyl. bipartita	476	10	87	5	43	3	0,0238	0,0340	-0,1089	0,9133
enlargement of cap. femoris	406	210	53	19	53	5	0,0820	0,9408	-2,9203	0,0035
for. et inc. suporb.			210	19	98	4				
for. ethmoidale absens	241	4	101	2	39	2	-0,0135	0,0096	-0,2826	0,7774
for. infraorb. absens			115	-	80	2				

	Mikulčice-sub-castle (Kostelisko)		Mikulčice-hinterland (Josefov)		Mikulčice-castle		MD12	MD13	Z	p
	n1	x1	n2	x2	n3	x3				
for. condylaris absens	545	477	124	57	49	7	0,8540	2,6817	-3,6298	0,0003
for. hypogl. bipart.	546	15	179	50	52	13	0,6020	0,4890	0,4871	0,6262
proc. marginalis absens	521	483	161	44	50	10	2,2253	2,7582	-1,0085	0,3132
torus palatinus	605	147	221	44	71	1	0,0050	0,6131	-3,0668	0,0022
torus acusticus	882	33	217	41	75	3	0,2544	-0,0143	3,3578	0,0008
tuberc. pharyng. absens	583	194	196	55	56	19	0,0060	-0,0194	0,7226	0,4699
troch. tertius	668	194	84	20	39	4	0,0007	0,2093	-1,2567	0,2089
linea nuchae supr.			94	39	81	21				
fossa pector.maj.	760	98	121	12	64	13	-0,0008	0,0233	-0,3795	0,7043
fossa teres	748	111	128	11	62	8	0,0292	-0,0143	0,9124	0,3615
fossa hypotroch.	824	349	160	18	66	24	0,5301	-0,0013	4,0997	0,0000
fossa solei	733	129	99	28	67	14	0,0539	-0,0093	0,9983	0,3182

Josefov and the series of skeletal remains from the castle than between Josefov and Kostelisko. The population group from the Mikulčice hinterland (Josefov) thus differs from the castle group in the incidence of more traits than from the sub-castle group.

The final results of the MD comparison between the skeletal groups from Mikulčice castle and the other two groups form the basis of Table 17. The group from the castle differed significantly from the sub-castle group (Kostelisko) than from the hinterland group (Josefov) in the incidence of *torus acusticus* (0.01) and *fossa hypotrochanterica* ($p=0.001$). On the contrary, it differed more from Josefov in the incidence of the elongation of *caput femoris* ($p=0.01$), *foramen condylaris* (0.001) and *torus palatinus* (0,01). The population group from the castle differed statistically from the Kostelisko group in the incidence of two traits, and from the Josefov group in the incidence of three traits, i.e. its difference from both groups is similar.

5. Summary

If we were to summarise all of the above, then the following apply:

- we studied approximately 155 non-metric morphological traits on the skeletons from the Mikulčice-Kostelisko burial site

- in view of the goal of this work, we divided the non-metric traits into five groups according to their character or function
- the relationship between incidence and sex was demonstrated in these traits; in males, *sutura supranasalis*, *depressio suprameatica*, *fossa costoclavicularis*, *facies articularis superior partita*, *torus acusticus* ($p=0.001$), *fossa pectoralis major*, *fossa hypotrochanterica*, *fossa bicipitis*, *vertebrae accessoriae* ($p=0.01$), *ossicula suturae lambdoideae*, *facies Poirieri*, *facies articularis calcanea anterior et media*, *processus trochlearis tali lateralis*, *spina suprameatica*, *torus occipitalis and tuberculum praecondylare* ($p=0.05$) occurred more frequently; in females, *foramen hypoglossale partitum* and *ossiculum epiptericum* occurred more frequently
- in males, non-metric traits associated with changes at the site of muscle attachments and hyperostotic traits occurred more frequently
- in males, isolated articular accessory facets occurred more frequently; in females, there was rather a tendency towards elongation, fusion of the articular surface (*facies articularis calcanea anterior et media* resp. *facies articularis calcanea anterior et media communis*; *facies Charlesi* or *facies condylaris media*)

- a clear preference for the incidence on a concrete side was not demonstrated in any of the bilaterally occurring traits
- a symmetrical incidence was significantly more frequent in the following bilateral traits – *sutura infraorbitale*, *sutura incisiva*, *facies articularis calcanea anterior et media*, *facies articularis calcanea anterior et media communis*, *facies articularis talaris anterior et media*, *depressio et spina supraneaica*, *tuberculum zygomaxillare*, *facies condylaris media*, *facies articularis tibiale accessoria lateralis*, *incisura frontalis*, *tuberculum marginale*, *linea nuchae suprema*, *crista hypotrochanterica* ($p=0.001$), *incisura faciei lunatae* and *ossicula suturae lambdoideae*, *facies articularis acromialis*, *crista hypotrochanterica* ($p=0.01$)
- an asymmetrical incidence was more frequent only in the case of the *foramen suparorbitale*, *foramen hypoglossalis partitum* ($p=0.001$), *fossa teres* ($p=0.05$)
- the burial sites at Kostelisko, in the sub-castle of the Mikulčice power centre differed statistically significantly from the Mikulčice castle group in the incidence of: *incisura supraorbitalis*, *foramen infraorbitale partitum*, *foramen hypoglossalis bipartitus*, *torus acusticus* and *fossa hypotrochanterica* ($p=0.05$)
- the burial site at Kostelisko differed statistically significantly from the burial site at Josefov in the Mikulčice hinterland in the incidence of *torus palatinus* and *torus acusticus* ($p=0.05$)
- the population group from Mikulčice castle differed statistically significantly from the burial site at Josefov in the incidence of *torus palatinus* and *trochanter tertius* ($p=0.05$);
- if we compare the groups from Mikulčice castle, sub-castle and hinterland, the following apply:
 - Kostelisko differed from Mikulčice castle in the incidence of traits relating to vascular and nerve apertures and in the incidence of the group of traits associated with sites of muscle attachment
 - Josefov differed from the other two groups in the incidence of traits with a hyperostotic character.
- Mikulčice castle significantly differed from Kostelisko in the incidence of two traits, and from Josefov in the incidence of three traits;
- if we compare the values of the measure of divergence for all comparisons, the following apply:
 - Kostelisko significantly differed from Josefov only in the incidence of one trait, while it differed from Mikulčice castle in the incidence of three traits
 - Josefov differed significantly from Kostelisko in the incidence of one trait, while it differed from Mikulčice castle in the incidence of four traits
 - Summary of the values of the mean measure of divergence MMD:
 - Kostelisko – Josefov
87.4 ($S^2_{\text{mmd}} = 0.00197$)
 - Mikulčice castle – Josefov
98.9 ($S^2_{\text{mmd}} = 0.00231$)
 - Kostelisko – Mikulčice castle
162.1 ($S^2_{\text{mmd}} = 0.00086$)

According to the incidence of non-metric morphological traits, the population group from the sub-castle area (Kostelisko) is closer to the group from Mikulčice hinterland (Josefov) than to individuals from Mikulčice castle. Similarly, the group from Josefov is more similar to that from Kostelisko than to the group from Mikulčice castle.

6. Conclusion

There exist tens of non-metric morphological traits, but not all have the same predictive value, an unequivocal methodology of evaluation. Naturally, the selection of traits has a fundamental importance for the comparison of population groups. If we are to compare three groups on the basis of two different groups of traits, it is not improbable that we will acquire completely different results. The fact that the group from Kostelisko was compared with the Josefov group (BARTONÍČEK 2000; UNZEITIGOVÁ 2000) and with the Mikulčice castle group (CZARNETZKI 1972) on the basis of a more or less same group of non-metric traits may be considered to be a positive

aspect of this study. This fact is not that usual. In Central Europe, the incidence of non-metric traits has been studied on only very few skeletal series. From this aspect, only the series from the Great Moravian era has been systematically processed within the territory of our country. Apart from the series compared in this study, this includes the burial site at Dolní Věstonice (e.g. HRNČÍŘOVÁ 2007). Naturally, the insufficient preservation and representation of skeletal remains in prehistoric burial sites is a limiting factor. It would be meaningful to complexly evaluate the incidence of non-metric traits for the whole prehistoric period, or the archaeological culture.

Attempts at “categorisation”, the division of non-metric traits exist since the time, when they were used for population comparisons as a “group of traits” (see OSSENBERG 1969, 1977). In view of their diversity, every division or classification is problematic. This also applies in the case of dividing traits into groups according to similarities in character, function or location, which we

applied in this work. Comparison of the Great Moravian population groups ultimately showed a difference only in the case of such defined groups (e.g. Table 10). The suitability of this approach will be borne out only by subsequent research.

This study supported the previous premise that non-metric traits have a greater predicative value when used to compare smaller population groups originating from a smaller territory (e.g. RÖSING 1986b) than when used to compare geographically, chronologically or racially or ethnic groups.

This research was supported by the projects GAČR 206/03/725 and the Czech Ministry of Culture VZ PM MK00002327201.

We would like to thank Ass.Prof. RNDr. Karel Zvára, CSc. from the Faculty of Mathematics and Physics of Charles University in Prague for many expert advices in the area of statistical evaluation of date (“the mean measure of divergence”).

References

- ALT/VACH 1998 – K.W. Alt/W. Vach, Kinship studies in skeletal remains – concepts and examples. In: K.W. Alt/F.W. Rösing/M. Teschler-Nicola (eds.), *Dental Anthropology. Fundamentals, Limits and Prospects* (Springer, Wien 1998) 537–554.
- BARTONIČEK 2000 – R. Bartoniček, Epigenetické znaky na lebkách z pohřebiště Josefov. Magister thesis, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague (Praha 2000).
- CAPASSO/KENNEDY/WILCZAK 1999 – L. Capasso/K.A.R. Kennedy/C.A. Wilczak, Atlas of occupational markers on human remains. *Journal of Paleontology, Monographic Publication 3* (Edigrafital S.p.A., Italy, Teramo 1999).
- CARSON 2006 – E.A. Carson, Maximum-Likelihood Variance Components Analysis of Heritabilities of Cranial Nonmetric Traits. *Hum. Biol.* 78, 4, 2006, 383–402.
- CZARNETZKI 1972 – A. Czarnetzki, Epigenetische Skelettmerkmale in Populationsvergleich. III. Zur Frage der Korrelation zwischen der Größe des epigenetischen Abstandes und dem Grad der Allopatrie. *Z. Morphol. Anthropol.* 64, 1972, 145–158.
- CZARNETZKI et al. 1985 – A. Czarnetzki/B. Kaufmann/M. Schoch/N. Xirotiris, Definition der anatomischen Varianten. *Unterlagen zur Diskussion* (Basel 1985).
- ČESNYS 1986 – G. Česnys, Diskretnyje priznaky čerepa u ljudej Faťanovskoj i Balanovskoj kultur. *Voprosy Antropologie* 76, 1986, 117–127.
- ČESNYS/TUTKUVIENĖ 2007 – G. Česny/J. Tutkuvienė, Topographical approach to kinship assessment within population according to discrete cranial traits: the 5th–6th cc. Plinkaigalis cemetery. *Acta Med. Lituan.* 14, 1, 2007, 7–16.
- FINNEGAN/FAUST 1974 – M. Finnegan/M. A. Faust, Bibliography of human and non-human non-metric variation. *Research Reports 14* (Dept. of Anthrop., Univ. of Massachusetts 1974), 1–131 (Suppl. Feb. 1983 1–28).
- HALLGRÍMSSON et al. 2004 – B. Hallgrímsson/B. O’Donnabháin/G.B. Walter/D.M.L. Cooper/D. Guðbjartsson/K. Stefánsson, Composition of the Founding Population of Iceland: Biological Distance and Morphological Variation in Early Historic Atlantic Europe. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 124, 2004, 257–274.
- HANÁKOVÁ/STLOUKAL 1966 – H. Hanáková/M. Stloukal, Staroslovanské pohřebiště v Josefově. *Antropologická analýza. Rozpravy Československé akademie věd, Řada společenských věd 76/9* (Academia, Praha 1966).
- HANIHARA/ISHIDA 2001 – T. Hanihara/H. Ishida 2001, Frequency variations of discrete cranial traits in

- major human populations. II. Hypostotic variations. *J. Anat.* 198, 2001, 707–725.
- HAUSER/DESTEFANO 1989 – G. Hauser/G.F. DeStefano, Epigenetic Variants of the Human Skull. (E. Schweizerbart, Stuttgart 1989).
- HRNČIŘOVÁ 2007 – M. Hrnčířová, Analýza výskytu epigenetických znaků na kosterních pozůstatcích staroslovanských populací z Dolních Věstonic. PhD thesis, Faculty of Science, Masaryk univerzity, Brno (Brno 2007).
- KOMESU et al. 2007 – A. Komesu/T. Hanihara/T. Amano/H. Ono/M. Yoneda/Y. Dodo/T. Fukumine/H. Ishida, Nonmetric cranial variation in human skeletal remains associated with Okhotsk culture. *Anthropol. Sci.* 115, 2007, 1–15.
- MANZI/VIENNA/HAUSER 1996 – G. Manzi/A. Vienna/G. Hauser, Developmental stress and cranial hypostosis by epigenetic trait occurrence and distribution: an exploratory study on the Italian Neandertals. *J. Hum. Evol.* 30, 1996, 511–527.
- MANZI/GRACIA/ARSUAGA 2000 – G. Manzi/A. Gracia/J.L. Arsuaga, Cranial discrete traits in the Middle Pleistocene humans from Sima de los Huesos (Sierra de Atapuerca, Spain). Does hypostosis represent any increase in „ontogenetic stress“ along the Neanderthal lineage? *J. Hum. Evol.* 38, 2000, 425–446.
- OSSENBERG 1969 – N. S. Ossenber, Discontinuous morphological variation in the human cranium. Ph.D. thesis, University of Toronto (Toronto 1969).
- OSSENBERG 1977 – N. S. Ossenber, Congruence of Distance Matrices Based on Cranial Discrete Trakte. Cranial Measurements an Linguistic. Geographic Criteria in Five Alaskan Populations. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 47, 1, 1977, 93–98.
- REINHARD/RÖSING 1985 – R. Reinhard/F. W. Rösing, Ein Literaturüberblick über Definitionen diskreter Merkmale/anatomischer Varianten am Schädel des Menschen (Selbstverlag University, Ulm 1985).
- RÖSING 1986a – F.W. Rösing, Kith or kin? On the feasibility of kinship reconstruction in skeletons. In: A.R. David (ed.), *Science in Egyptology* (Manchester University Press, 1986) 223–237.
- RÖSING 1986b – F. W. Rösing, Group Report - Kinship Analysis. In: B. Herrmann (Hrsg.), *Innovative Trends in der prähistorischen Anthropologie*. Mitt. Bln. Ges. Anthrop. Ethnol. Urgesch. 7, 1986, 95–98.
- SAUNDERS 1989 – S. R. Saunders, Nonmetric skeletal variation. In: M. Y. Ispan/K. A. R. Kennedy (eds.), *Reconstruction of Life From the Skeleton* (Alan R. Liss, New York 1989) 95–108.
- SJØVOLD 1973 – T. Sjøvold, The occurrence of non-metrical variants in the skeleton and their quantitative treatment for population comparisons. *Homo* 24, 1973, 204–233.
- SJØVOLD 1977 – T. Sjøvold, Non-metrical divergence between skeletal populations. *Ossa: International Journal of Skeletal Research* 4, Suppl. 1, 1977, 1–133.
- SJØVOLD 1986 – T. Sjøvold, Infrapopulation distances and genetics of non-metrical traits. In: B. Herrmann (Hrsg), *Innovative Trends in der prähistorischen Anthropologie*. Mitt. Bln. Ges. Anthrop. Ethnol. Urgesch. 7, 1986, 81–93.
- STAŇA 1997 – Č. Staňa, Mikulčice a Pražský hrad. *Arch. Rozhledy* 49, 1997, 72–85.
- STLOUKAL 1963 – M. Stloukal, První pohřebiště na hradišti „Valy“ u Mikulčic. *Pam. Arch.* 54, 1963, 114–140.
- STLOUKAL 1964 – M. Stloukal, Čtvrté pohřebiště na hradišti „Valy“ u Mikulčic. *Pam. Arch.* 55, 1964, 479–505.
- STLOUKAL 1967 – M. Stloukal, Druhé pohřebiště na hradišti „Valy“ u Mikulčic. *Pam. Arch.* 58, 1967, 272–319.
- STLOUKAL 1969 – M. Stloukal, Třetí pohřebiště na hradišti „Valy“ u Mikulčic. *Pam. Arch.* 60, 1969, 498–532.
- STLOUKAL/VYHNÁNEK 1976 – M. Stloukal/L. Vyhnanek, Slované z velkomoravských Mikulčic (Academia, Praha 1976).
- STOJANOWSKI et al. 2007 – Ch. M. Stojanowski/C.S. Larsen/T.A. Tung/B.G. McEwan, Biological Structure and Health Implications From Tooth Size at Mission San Lui de Apalachee. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 132, 2007, 207–222.
- SUTTER/MERTZ 2004 – R.C. Sutter/L. Mertz, Nonmetric Cranial Trait Variation and Prehistoric Biocultural Change in the Azapa Valley, Chile. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 23, 2004, 130–145.
- STRÁNSKÁ et al. 2002 – P. Stránská/P. Velemínský/J. Likovský/J. Velemínská, The Great Moravian Cemetery at Josefov. Basic anthropological characteristics, possible expressions of physiological and physical loads, state of health. *Čas. Nár. muz., ř. přírodovědná* 171, 1-4, 2002, 131–175.
- UBELAKER 1979 – D.H. Ubelaker, Skeletal evidence for kneeling in prehistoric Ecuador. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 51 (4) 1979, 679–686.
- UNZEITIGOVÁ 2000 – V. Unzeitigová, Morfologické znaky na postkranialním skeletu z pohřebiště Josefov. Magister thesis, Faculty of Science, Charles univerzity, Prague (Praha 2000).
- VELEMÍNSKÝ 1999 – P. Velemínský, Morfologické znaky na kostře. In: M. Stloukal et al., *Antropologie. Příručka pro studium kostry* (Národní muzeum, Praha 1999) 112–167.

- VELEMÍNSKÝ 2000 – P. Velemínský, Mikulčice-Kostelisko. Projevy nespecifické zátěže a možnosti stanovení pokrevní příbuznosti na základě morfologických znaků. PhD thesis, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague (Praha 2000).
- VELEMÍNSKÝ/DOBISÍKOVÁ 2005 – P. Velemínský/M. Dobisíková, Morphological likeness of the skeletal remains in the Central European family from 17th to 19th century. *Homo* 56 (2) 2005, 173–196.
- VELEMÍNSKÝ et al. 2005 – P. Velemínský/J. Lipovský/P. Trefný/M. Dobisíková/J. Velemínská/ L. Poláček/H. Hanáková, Großmährisches Gräberfeld auf „Kostelisko“ im Suburbium des Mikulčicer Burgwalls. Demographie, Spuren nicht spezifischer Belastung physiologischen und physischen Charakters auf Skeletten, Gesundheitszustand. In: L. Poláček (Hrsg.), Studien zum Burgwall von Mikulčice VI. *Spisy AÚ AV ČR Brno* 23 (Brno 2005) 539–633.
- ZVÁRA 1998 – K. Zvára, Biostatistika (Karolinum, Nakladatelství University Karlovy, Praha 1998) pp. 210.
- ZVÁRA 1999 – K. Zvára, Statistika v antropologii. In: M. Stloukal et al., Antropologie. Příručka pro studium kostry. (Národní muzeum, Praha 1999) 433–479.

Table 2. Incidence of non-metric traits, expressed as average per individual and per non-specific side in the case of bilateral traits.

trait	Incidence on Individual			Incidence on Side		
	N	Incidence	%	N	Incidence	%
sutura metopica	297	23	7,7			
sutura supranalis	216	132	61,1			
fissura metopica	261	4	1,5			
ossiculum metopicum	262	-	-			
sut. parametop.	217	-	-	492	-	-
oss. sut. coronalis	151	4	2,6	341	4	1,2
ossiculum internasalis	93	-	-			
ossiculum prae frontale	98	3	3,1	208	3	1,4
lam. orbit. partita	11	1	9,1	45	1	2,2
oss. zygom. part.	138	3	2,2	382	3	0,8
fiss. zygom. transv.	113	9	8,0	319	13	4,1
oss. sut. zygo-max.	117	6	5,1	313	6	1,9
sut. infraorbitale	139	109	78,4	248	161	64,9
sutura incisiva	220	89	40,5	446	163	36,5
oss. med. palat. anter.	224	-	-			
oss. med. palat. post.	210	-	-			
oss. epiptericum	75	19	25,3	173	25	14,5
sut. frontotemp.	121	3	2,5	305	3	1,0
proc. front. os. temp.	92	7	7,6	245	9	3,7
proc. temp. os. front.	89	2	2,2	241	3	1,2
proc. pariet. os. sphen.	74	20	27,0	181	27	14,9
oss. bregmatic.	217	1	0,5			
oss. sut. sagittal.	180	13	7,2			
oss. inc. pariet.	106	17	16,0	257	20	7,8
os pariet. part.	188	-	-	438	-	-
oss. sut. squam.	53	1	1,9	164	2	1,2
sq. tempor. part.	103	2	1,9	303	3	1,0
sut. squamom.	148	29	19,6	373	38	10,2
proc. mast. bipart.	115	8	7,0	322	9	2,8
oss. lambdae	99	20	20,2			
oss. sut. lambdoid.	175	133	76,0	304	199	65,5
os Incae	244	3	1,2			
proc. interpariet.	232	4	1,7			
sut. mendosa	140	3	2,1	322	5	1,6
oss. asterii	109	10	9,2	256	14	5,5
oss. sut. occipit.	93	8	8,6	222	9	4,1
assimilatio atlantis	141	1	0,7			
oss. dens axis	137	1	0,7			
spina bifida sacralis	74	4	5,4			

trait	Incidence on Individual			Incidence on Side		
	N	Incidence	%	N	Incidence	%
vert. absentes	43	1	2,3			
vert. accessoriae	44	5	11,4			
fenestratio sterni	45	-	-			
oss. acromii	42	1	2,4	109	1	0,9
oss. coracoid.	45	-	-	136	-	-
oss. styl. radii	33	-	-	111	-	-
oss. styl. ulnae	17	-	-	63	-	-
synost. acet. incompl. (co)	110	-	-	245	-	-
patella multipartita	45	-	-	142	-	-
oss. trigon. tali (Ta)	99	-	-	250	-	-
fac. condyl. bipartita	87	5	5,7	215	7	3,3
fac. art. sup. part. (C1)	99	26	26,3	203	37	18,2
fac. art. cost. C7	55	1	1,8	126	1	0,8
fac. art. cost. L1	38	-	-	87	-	-
fac. art. sacral. acces. (Sa)	30	5	16,7	66	6	9,1
fac. art. sacr. acc. (Co)	50	8	16,0	116	9	7,8
fac. art. acces. cost. (Cl)	128	85	66,4	228	123	53,9
fac. art. acromialis	38	37	97,4	58	55	94,8
fac. art. acromialis absens	22	4	18,2	58	5	8,6
fac. art. proc.corac.	31	13	41,9	86	17	19,8
fac. art. carp. part. (Ra)	44	8	18,2	122	11	9,0
fac. art. trochl. part. (Ul)	63	30	47,6	138	44	31,9
inc. radial. part. (Ul)	50	2	4,0	139	2	1,4
fac. lunata part. (Co)	108	-	-	244	-	-
facies Poirieri (Fe)	53	12	22,6	141	17	12,1
riding facet (Fe)	93	-	-	251	-	-
facies Charlesi (Fe)	66	34	51,5	137	52	38,0
fac. art. cond. media (Fe)	68	40	58,8	135	61	45,2
facies Charlesi+fac. art. cond. media (Fe)	133	73	54,9	272	113	41,5
fac. art. tib. acc. med. (Ti)	30	6	20,0	91	7	7,7
fac. art. tib. acc. lat. (Ti)	70	63	90,0	112	87	77,7
fac. art. tal. ant. et med. (Ca)	135	75	55,6	268	120	44,8
fac. art. tal. ant. et med. com. (Ca)	146	96	65,8	274	156	56,9
fac. art. tal. ant. bipart. (Ca)	124	1	0,8	286	1	0,3
fac. art. tal. med. bipart. (Ca)	129	-	-	292	-	-
fac. art. tal. ant. absens (Ca)	126	9	7,1	280	10	3,6
fac. art. calc. ant. et med. (Ta)	125	30	24,0	271	44	16,2
fac. art. calc. a. et m. com. (Ta)	153	137	89,5	272	227	83,5
fac. art. calc. ant. absens (Ta)	114	3	2,6	274	4	1,5
fac. art. med. tal. (Ta)	59	4	6,8	171	6	3,5
proc. troch. tali lat. (Ta)	77	10	13,0	194	14	7,2

trait	Incidence on Individual			Incidence on Side		
	N	Incidence	%	N	Incidence	%
proc. troch. tali med.(Ta)	42	36	85,7	70	49	70
for. supratroch. (Hu)	100	28	28,0	226	35	15,5
inc. fac. lunatae (Co)	105	32	30,5	228	54	23,7
plate formation (Fe)	49	7	14,3	140	11	7,9
fossa Alleni (Fe)	65	4	6,2	187	5	2,7
sulcus frontalis	153	77	50,3	309	107	34,6
for. et inc.supratr.	211	2	0,9	484	2	0,4
for. et inc. frontalis	253	242	95,7	453	412	90,9
foramen frontale	218	69	31,7	443	91	20,5
incisura frontalis	239	212	88,7	422	336	79,6
for. et inc. suprorb.	210	19	9,0	476	19	4,0
foramen supraorb.	213	17	8,0	486	17	3,5
incisura supraorb.	210	1	0,5	496	2	0,4
for. nasale absens	80	11	13,8	165	14	8,5
for. zygomat. absens	158	22	13,9	392	24	6,1
for. ethmoidale	101	99	98,0	169	164	97,0
can. opticus part.	83	1	1,2	198	1	0,5
for. infraorb. absens	115	-	-	303	-	-
for. infraorb. part.	116	8	6,9	292	12	4,1
for. pariet. absens	185	131	70,8	327	190	58,1
for. pariet. inf.	100	-	-	257	-	-
proc. pariet. sq. temp.	74	2	2,7	218	3	1,4
for. squam. sup.	78	3	3,8	243	4	1,6
for. mastoid. intras.	83	51	61,4	176	68	38,6
for. mastoid. extras.	115	88	76,5	193	112	58,0
for. tympan.	191	31	16,2	432	41	9,5
for. marginale	191	17	8,9	448	19	4,2
for. occipitale	174	22	12,6			
for. condylare	126	91	72,2	232	126	54,3
can. condylaris	124	67	54,0	243	92	37,9
can. condyl. interm.	114	4	3,5	278	4	1,4
for. hypogl. bipart.	179	50	27,9	359	55	15,3
for. spin. incompl.	76	31	40,8	185	41	22,2
for. ovale incompl.	96	3	3,1	257	5	1,9
for. ovale et spin. confl.	99	3	3,0	262	4	1,5
for. Vesalii	110	21	19,1	275	30	10,9
for. ovale part.	108	2	1,9	289	4	1,4
for. mentale part.	253	1	0,4	545	2	0,4
for. mentale absens	251	1	0,4	540	2	0,4
sulc. myloh. absens	161	2	1,2	420	4	1,0

trait	Incidence on Individual			Incidence on Side		
	N	Incidence	%	N	Incidence	%
for. mandib. access.	132	17	12,9	349	21	6,0
for. pr. trans. part. C1-7	52	49	94,2	77	69	89,6
for. pr. trans. part. C1	37	1	2,7	117	1	0,9
for. pr. trans. part. C2	66	2	3,0	160	2	1,25
for. pr. trans. part. C3	45	-	-	123	-	-
for. pr. trans. part. C4	54	5	9,3	131	5	3,8
for. pr. trans. part. C5	54	22	40,7	126	31	24,6
for. pr. trans. part. C6	56	42	75,0	106	60	56,6
for. pr. trans. part. C7	30	9	30,0	79	10	12,7
for. pr. trans. apart. C1-7	9	5	55,6	28	8	28,6
for. pr. trans. apart. C1	35	3	8,6	109	5	4,6
for. pr. trans. apart. C2	65	3	4,6	157	4	2,5
for. pr. trans. apart. C3	39	-	-	116	-	-
for. pr. trans. apart. C4	48	-	-	128	-	-
for. pr. trans. apart. C5	44	-	-	129	-	-
for. pr. trans. apart. C6	37	-	-	106	-	-
for. pr. trans. apart. C7	29	1	3,4	86	1	1,2
pont. os. sphenoid.	99	8	8,1	239	8	3,3
proc. pter.-spinos.	94	3	3,2	241	3	1,2
proc. pter.- alaris	100	7	7,0	246	7	2,8
ponticuli sellae	80	14	17,5	169	23	13,6
pont. clinoid medius	91	12	13,2	188	18	9,6
pont. carot.-clinoid.	88	5	5,7	186	6	3,2
pont. interclinoid.	108	2	1,9	231	3	1,3
taenie interclinoid.	100	1	1,0	214	2	0,9
pont. mylohyoid.	158	12	7,6	400	13	3,3
pont. atlantis	76	6	7,9	180	8	4,4
pont. atlantis lat.	83	1	1,2	200	2	1
pont. atlantis post.	84	6	7,1	196	8	4,1
for. suprascap.	78	7	9,0	207	8	3,9
depr. bipar. circum.	235	-	-	515	-	-
spina trochlearis	149	38	25,5	329	51	15,5
tuberc. marginale	161	117	72,7	321	173	53,9
tuberc. zygomax.	106	37	34,9	275	56	20,4
torus palatinus	221	44	19,9			
torus maxillaris	201	4	2,0	445	5	1,1
depres. suprameat.	204	113	55,4	407	172	42,3
spina suprameat.	205	111	54,1	406	170	41,9
torus acusticus	217	41	18,9	476	62	13,0
torus occipitalis	208	23	11,1			
proc. retromast.	122	7	5,7	279	12	4,3

trait	Incidence on Individual			Incidence on Side		
	N	Incidence	%	N	Incidence	%
tuberc. praecond.	161	8	5,0	339	11	3,2
tuberc. pharyng.	196	141	71,9			
proc. paracond.	53	2	3,8	136	2	1,5
torus mandibul.	249	3	1,2	536	5	0,9
proc. supracond.	134	2	1,5	322	3	0,9
for. supracond.	156	-	-	365	-	-
troch. tertius	84	20	23,8	204	28	13,7
fossa pharyng.	185	44	23,8			
linea nuchae supr.	94	39	41,5	191	75	39,3
fossa costaclavic.	113	35	31,0	247	48	19,4
fossa pector.maj.	121	12	9,9	305	17	5,6
fossa teres	128	11	8,6	312	12	3,8
fossa bicipitis	66	17	25,8	172	21	12,2
fossa hypotroch.	160	18	11,3	360	29	8,1
crista hypotroch.	125	57	45,6	247	85	34,4
fossa gastrocn.	72	6	8,3	164	9	5,5
inc. musc. vasti lat.(Pa)	41	12	29,3	125	16	12,8
fossa. musc. vasti lat.	41	-	-	138	-	-
fossa solei	99	28	28,3	253	43	17,0
crista solei	83	36	43,4	189	51	27,0

Table 3. Frequency of non-metric traits in male and female and verification of sexual differences using the Chi-square test, Yates correction or Fisher test.

Explanatory notes: traits for which statistically significant differences have been demonstrated are in bold type; in the case of higher incidence of traits in female they are in bold italics.

trait	Male			Female			χ^2 test		Yates'correction	
	N	Incidence	%	N	Incidence	%	χ^2	p	χ^2	p
sutura metopica	72	5	6,9	125	6	4,8	0,4	0,528	0,1	0,757
sutura supranalis	61	54	88,5	93	48	51,6	22,4	0	20,82	0
fissura metopica	68	-	-	116	2	1,7	1,2	0,276	0,12	0,725
ossiculum metopicum	69	-	-	114	-	-				
sut. parametop.	130	-	-	216	-	-				
oss.sut. coronalis	102	2	2,0	160	2	1,3	0,2	0,647	-	0,953
ossiculum internasale	31	-	-	48	-	-				
ossiculum praefrontale	67	-	-	103	3	2,9	2,0	0,159	0,66	0,416
lam. orbit. partita	11	-	-	21	-	-				
oss. zygom. part.	101	-	-	167	2	1,2	1,2	0,270	0,14	0,710
fiss. zygom. transv.	87	3	3,4	139	6	4,3	0,1	0,745	-	0,980
oss. sut. zygo-max.	88	1	1,1	149	4	2,7	0,6	0,423	0,11	0,739
sut. infraorbitale	61	33	54,1	98	58	59,2	0,4	0,529	0,22	0,642
sutura incisiva	117	28	23,9	192	41	21,4	0,3	0,598	0,15	0,699

trait	Male			Female			χ ² test		Yates'correction	
	N	Incidence	%	N	Incidence	%	χ ²	p	χ ²	p
oss. med. palat. anter.	57	-	-	95	-	-				
oss. med. palat. post.	51	-	-	89	-	-				
oss. epiptericum	66	5	7,6	85	16	18,8	3,9	0,048	3,04	0,081
sut. frontotemp.	81	-	-	147	2	1,4	1,1	0,292	0,1	0,755
proc. front. os. temp.	76	3	3,9	119	3	2,5	0,3	0,574	0,02	0,891
proc. temp. os. front.	77	-	-	116	3	2,6	2,0	0,155	0,69	0,408
proc. pariet. os. sphen.	66	14	21,2	88	13	14,8	1,1	0,298	0,68	0,409
oss. bregmatic.	63	-	-	105	-	-				
oss. sut. sagittal.	42	2	4,8	89	8	9,0	0,7	0,395	0,25	0,619
oss. inc. pariet.	84	8	9,5	129	11	8,5	0,1	0,803	0	0,997
os pariet. part.	110	-	-	201	-	-				
oss. sut. squam.	57	2	3,5	79	-	-	2,8	0,935	0,91	0,339
sq. tempor. part.	82	2	2,4	138	1	0,7	1,1	0,289	0,21	0,646
sut. squamom.	62	5	8,1	149	12	8,1	-	0,998	0,08	0,783
proc. mast. bipart.	87	6	6,9	132	2	1,5	4,3	0,378	2,92	0,874
oss. lambdae	13	3	23,1	40	9	22,5	-	0,966	0,11	0,735
oss. sut. lambdoid.	74	52	70,3	142	80	56,3	3,97*	0,046	3,41	0,065
os Incae	62	1	1,6	100	1	1	0,12	0,731	0,15	0,698
proc. interpariet.	57	-	-	99	3	3,0	1,76	0,185	0,32	0,471
sut. mendosa	97	3	3,1	141	2	1,4	0,78	0,376	0,18	0,671
oss. asterii	86	4	4,7	129	6	4,7	-	1,000	0,11	0,741
oss. sut. occipit.	79	3	3,8	107	6	5,6	0,32	0,570	0,05	0,824
assimilatio atlantis	37	-	-	72	1	1,4	0,52	0,471	0,12	0,733
oss. dens axis	40	1	2,5	75	-	-	1,89	0,169	0,1	0,748
spina bifida sacralis	27	2	7,4	41	1	2,4	0,95	0,329	0,14	0,709
vert. absentes	20	-	-	21	1	4,8	0,98	0,323	-	0,980
vert. accessoriae	18	5	27,8	24	0	0	7,57	0,059	5,15	0,023
fenestratio sterni	17	-	-	24	-	-				
oss. acromii	36	-	-	70	1	1,4	0,52	0,471	0,12	0,734
oss. coracoid.	50	-	-	84	-	-				
oss. styl. radii	46	-	-	63	-	-				
oss. styl. ulnae	23	-	-	39	-	-				
synost. acet. incompl. (Co)	98	-	-	138	-	-				
patella multipartita	50	-	-	83	-	-				
oss. trigon. tali (Ta)	76	-	-	154	-	-				
fac. condyl. bipartita	68	5	7,4	132	2	1,5	4,53	0,3333	2,96	0,0851
fac. art. sup. part. (C1)	59	22	37,3	111	14	12,6	14,05	0,0002	12,61	0,0004
fac. art. cost. C7	34	1	2,9	72	-	-	2,14	0,1437	0,15	0,6996
fac. art. cost. L1	19	-	-	55	-	-				
fac. art. sacral. acces. (Sa)	22	-	-	46	6	13,0	3,15	0,0761	1,73	0,1878

trait	Male			Female			χ^2 test		Yates'correction	
	N	Incidence	%	N	Incidence	%	χ^2	p	χ^2	p
fac. art. sacr. acc. (Co)	15	2	13,3	59	7	11,9	0,02	0,8765	0,08	0,7742
fac. art. acces. cost. (Cl)	75	46	61,3	112	71	63,4	0,08	0,7755	0,02	0,8957
fac. art. acromialis	17	15	88,2	41	40	97,6	2,13	0,1444	0,65	0,4188
fac. art. acromialis absens	19	4	21,1	41	3	7,3	2,38	0,1232	1,23	0,2672
fac. art. proc. corac.	33	7	21,2	51	8	15,7	0,42	0,5184	0,13	0,7232
fac. art. carp. part. (Ra)	50	7	14	71	4	5,6	2,48	0,115	1,58	0,2094
fac. art. trochl. part. (Ul)	41	14	34,1	85	27	31,8	0,07	0,7892	-	0,9486
inc. radial. part. (Ul)	43	2	4,7	84	-	-	3,97	0,0463	1,54	0,2152
fac. lunata part. (Co)	53	-	-	139	-	-				
facies Poirieri (Fe)	39	10	25,6	70	7	10	4,65	0,031	3,54	0,0598
riding facet (Fe)	76	-	-	128	-	-				
facies Charlesi (Fe)	45	22	48,9	80	30	37,5	1,06	0,303	0,71	0,3992
fac. art. cond. media (Fe)	43	16	37,2	80	41	51,3	2,22	0,1365	1,69	0,1938
facies Charlesi+fac. art. cond. media (Fe)	52	38	73,1	99	71	71,7	0,03	0,8594	-	0,9889
fac. art. tib. acc. med.(Ti)	24	4	16,7	59	2	3,4	4,48	0,0342	2,72	0,0989
fac. art. tib. acc. lat. (Ti)	27	21	77,8	74	58	78,4	-	0,9484	0,04	0,8355
fac. art. tal. ant. et med. (Ca)	85	39	45,9	153	71	46,4	0,01	0,9382	0	0,9536
fac. art. tal. a. et m. com. (Ca)	88	48	54,5	155	88	56,8	0,11	0,7366	0,04	0,84
fac. art. tal. ant. bipart. (Ca)	87	1	1,1	167	-	-	1,93	0,1651	0,11	0,7395
fac. art. tal. med. bipart. (Ca)	91	-	-	169	-	-				
fac. art. tal. ant. absens (Ca)	86	1	1,2	163	6	3,7	1,31	0,253	0,55	0,4594
fac. art. calc. ant. et med. (Ta)	81	20	24,7	160	20	12,5	5,77	0,0163	4,93	0,0265
fac. art. calc. a. et m. com. (Ta)	83	64	77,1	159	138	86,8	3,71	0,0542	3,04	0,0813
fac. art. calc. ant. absens (Ta)	83	1	1,2	162	3	1,9	0,14	0,7053	0,02	0,8773
fac. art. med. tal. (Ta)	57	0	0	97	3	3,1	1,8	0,18	0,54	0,4611
proc. troch. tali lat. (Ta)	57	8	14,0	119	6	5,0	4,26	0,0391	3,12	0,0775
proc. troch. tali med.(Ta)	24	18	75	39	24	61,5	1,21	0,271	0,68	0,4091
for. supratroch.(Hu)	64	8	12,5	100	25	25	3,79	0,0515	3,06	0,0805
inc. fac. lunatae (Co)	90	21	23,3	128	31	24,2	0,02	0,88	-	0,9917
plate formation (Fe)	42	-	-	70	7	10	4,48	0,0343	2,94	0,0866
fossa Alleni (Fe)	56	4	7,1	88	1	1,1	3,68	0,055	2,11	0,1464
sulcus frontalis	85	28	32,9	127	53	41,7	1,67	0,1967	1,32	0,2515
for. et inc.supratr.	123	1	0,8	210	1	0,5	0,015	0,701	0,12	0,7257
for. et inc. frontalis	115	104	90,4	206	192	93,2	0,79	0,3747	0,45	0,5025
foramen frontale	112	23	20,5	193	53	27,5	1,82	0,1777	1,47	0,2261
incisura frontalis	108	84	77,8	186	147	79,0	0,06	0,8005	0,01	0,9161
for. et inc. suporb.	120	7	5,8	210	4	1,9	3,66	0,0558	2,54	0,111
foramen supraorb.	121	7	5,8	213	4	1,9	3,7	0,0545	2,57	0,1087
incisura supraorb.	127	-	-	213	-	-				
for. nasale absens	62	2	3,2	77	10	13,0	4,15	0,0417	3	0,0831

trait	Male			Female			χ ² test		Yates'correction	
	N	Incidence	%	N	Incidence	%	χ ²	p	χ ²	p
for. zygomat. absens	107	9	8,4	170	11	6,5	0,37	0,5435	0,14	0,712
for. ethmoidale	49	48	98,0	84	82	97,6	0,02	0,8986	0,23	0,6327
can. opticus part.	48	-	-	91	1	1,1	0,53	0,4661	0,11	0,7441
for. infraorb. absens	68	-	-	129	-	-				
for. infraorb. part.	67	4	6,0	119	3	2,5	1,41	0,2354	0,62	0,4323
depr. bipar. circum.	69	-	-	223	-	-				
for. pariet. absens	81	47	58,0	154	90	58,4	0	0,9509	0,01	0,9382
for. pariet. inf.	78	-	-	119	-	-				
proc. pariet. sq. temp.	71	3	4,2	96	-	-	4,13	0,0421	2,08	0,149
for. squam. sup.	75	2	2,7	105	1	1,0	0,78	0,3758	0,09	0,7678
for. mastoid. intras.	67	29	43,3	84	33	39,3	0,25	0,6198	0,11	0,7417
for. mastoid. extras.	66	40	60,6	92	45	48,9	2,11	0,146	1,67	0,1963
for. tympan.	114	4	3,5	197	9	4,6	0,2	0,6527	0,02	0,876
for. marginale	111	4	3,6	195	11	5,6	0,63	0,4274	0,27	0,6043
for. occipitale	52	8	15,4	70	11	15,7	-	0,9604	0,04	0,8393
for. condylare	53	23	43,4	91	48	52,7	1,17	0,2791	0,83	0,363
can. condylaris	56	16	28,6	95	31	32,6	0,27	0,6027	0,11	0,7349
can. condyl. interm.	65	2	3,1	120	2	1,7	0,4	0,529	0,01	0,9202
for. hypogl. bipart.	94	9	9,6	155	36	23,2	7,36	0,0067	6,47	0,011
for. spin. incompl.	57	13	22,8	83	21	25,3	0,11	0,7353	0,02	0,8906
for. ovale incompl.	62	1	1,6	114	4	3,5	0,52	0,4696	0,06	0,8039
for. ovale et spin. confl.	70	-	-	142	1	0,7	0,5	0,4816	0,13	0,7174
for. Vesalii	69	11	15,9	125	15	12	0,6	0,4404	0,3	0,5813
for. ovale part.	71	1	1,4	132	1	0,8	0,2	0,6543	0,09	0,7663
for. mentale part.	130	-	-	235	-	-				
for. mentale absens	130	-	-	233	2	0,9	1,12	0,2895	0,1	0,7491
ponticulus mylohyoideus	99	5	5,1	171	7	4,1	0,14	0,7131	0	0,9511
sulc. myloh. absens	108	2	1,9	181	-	-	3,38	0,0662	1,22	0,2697
for. mandib. access.	93	1	1,1	147	4	2,7	0,76	0,3845	0,16	0,6848
for. pr. trans. part. C1-7	24	20	83,3	37	33	89,2	0,44	0,5081	0,07	0,7843
for. pr. trans. part. C1	43	1	2,3	55	-	-	1,29	0,2556	0,02	0,9013
for. pr. trans. part. C2	40	-	-	79	2	2,5	1,03	0,3102	0,07	0,7948
for. pr. trans. part. C3	34	-	-	61	-	-				
for. pr. trans. part. C4	36	1	2,8	67	4	6,0	0,52	0,4723	0,06	0,8118
for. pr. trans. part. C5	36	6	16,7	65	15	23,1	0,58	0,4471	0,25	0,614
for. pr. trans. part. C6	33	19	57,6	55	32	58,2	1,89	0,1688	1,37	0,241
for. pr. trans. part. C7	29	2	6,9	42	6	14,3	0,94	0,3331	0,34	0,5578
for. pr. trans. apart. C1-7	12	4	33,3	12	2	16,7	0,89	0,3458	0,22	0,6374
for. pr. trans. apart. C1	42	1	2,4	48	2	4,2	0,22	0,6378	0,01	0,9063
for. pr. trans. apart. C2	41	2	4,9	78	-	-	3,87	0,0492	1,48	0,2237
for. pr. trans. apart. C3	33	-	-	58	-	-				
for. pr. trans. apart. C4	35	-	-	66	-	-				

trait	Male			Female			χ^2 test		Yates'correction	
	N	Incidence	%	N	Incidence	%	χ^2	p	χ^2	p
for. pr. trans. apart. C5	36	-	-	69	-	-				
for. pr. trans. apart. C6	33	-	-	53	-	-				
for. pr. trans. apart. C7	29	1	3,4	48	-	-	1,68	0,1953	0,07	0,7977
pont. os. sphenoid.	60	3	5	117	3	2,6	0,72	0,3966	0,17	0,6826
proc. pter.-spinos.	64	-	-	118	2	1,7	1,1	0,295	0,09	0,7621
proc. pter.-alaris	65	3	4,6	117	3	2,6	0,55	0,4577	0,1	0,757
ponticuli sellae	25	5	20	61	11	18,0	0,05	0,8314	0,01	0,9265
pont. clinoid medius	28	3	10,7	69	10	14,5	0,25	0,6206	0,03	0,8681
pont. carot.-clinoid.	30	2	6,7	69	1	1,4	1,94	0,164	0,57	0,4509
pont. interclinoid.	46	2	4,3	86	-	-	3,8	0,0514	1,44	0,2298
taenie interclinoid.	33	2	6,1	79	-	-	4,87	0,0273	2,03	0,1541
pont. atlantis	54	2	3,7	77	5	6,5	0,49	0,4846	0,09	0,7609
pont. atlantis lat.	60	-	-	90	2	2,2	1,35	0,2451	0,19	0,6629
pont. atlantis post.	60	2	3,3	88	5	5,7	2,81	0,0939	0,91	0,3403
for. suprascap.	58	-	-	94	7	7,4	4,53	0,0334	2,99	0,0837
spina trochlearis	74	13	17,6	153	26	17,0	0,01	0,9144	0,01	0,9361
tuberc. marginale	90	62	68,9	140	82	58,6	2,49	0,1145	2,07	0,1502
tuberc. zygomax.	71	16	22,5	137	29	21,2	0,05	0,8204	0	0,9605
torus palatinus	56	11	19,6	93	26	28,0	1,29	0,2552	0,89	0,3462
torus maxillaris	113	3	2,7	188	1	0,5	2,43	0,1194	1,08	0,2994
depres. suprameat.	97	66	68,0	161	74	46,0	11,89	0,0006	11,02	0,0009
spina suprameat.	99	67	67,7	154	80	51,9	6,12**	0,0133	5,49	0,0191
torus acusticus	115	32	27,8	198	14	7,1	25***	-	23,37	-
torus occipitalis	58	14	24,1	82	7	8,5	6,49	0,0109	5,32	0,0211
proc. retromast.	87	6	6,9	122	5	4,1	0,8	0,3719	0,34	0,5627
tuberc. praecond.	85	6	7,1	167	2	1,2	6,3	0,0121	4,53*	0,0333
tuberc. pharyng.	56	50	89,3	92	73	79,3	2,45	0,1176	1,79	0,1807
proc. paracond.	47	-	-	68	2	2,9	1,41	0,2356	0,21	0,6451
torus mandibul.	131	3	2,3	232	2	0,9	1,27	0,26	0,43	0,511
proc. supracond.	92	-	-	155	2	1,3	1,2	0,274	0,13	0,7191
for. supracond.	106	-	-	177	-	-				
troch. tertius	65	11	16,9	97	15	15,5	0,06	0,8041	0	0,9763
fossa pharyng.	53	16	30,2	86	25	29,1	0,02	0,8883	0	0,9594
linea nuchae supr.	57	24	42,1	75	41	54,7	2,04	0,1528	1,57	0,2098
fossa costoclavic.	76	29	38,2	131	15	11,5	20,5	0	18,93	0
fossa pector. maj.	85	10	11,8	144	3	2,1	9,36	0,0022	7,64	0,0057
fossa teres	91	2	2,2	147	2	1,4	0,24	0,6253	0	0,9757
fossa bicipitis	50	12	24	85	4	4,7	11,22	0,0008	9,45	0,0021
fossa hypotroch.	104	15	14,4	182	8	4,4	9	0,0027	7,69	0,0059
crista hypotroch.	79	34	43,0	108	45	41,7	0,04	0,8513	-	0,97

trait	Male			Female			χ^2 test		Yates' correction	
	N	Incidence	%	N	Incidence	%	χ^2	p	χ^2	p
fossa gastrocn.	41	4	9,8	81	4	4,9	1,03	0,3099	0,39	0,5298
inc. musc. vasti lat. (Pa)	45	6	13,3	73	9	12,3	0,03	0,8736	0,02	0,9002
fossa. musc. vasti lat.	47	-	-	82	-	-				
fossa solei	69	7	10,1	116	11	9,5	0,02	0,8832	0,01	0,9128
crista solei	48	15	31,3	83	32	38,6	0,71	0,401	0,42	0,5152

Table 5. The average incidence of bilateral non-metric traits on the right and left side and verification of laterality differences using the chi-square test, Yates correction or McNemar's test.

Explanatory notes: traits with a statistically significant difference in laterality are in bold type.

Trait	Right Side			Left Side			χ^2 test		Yates' correction		McNemar test
	N	Incidence	%	N	Incidence	%	χ^2	p	χ^2	p	χ^2
sut. parametop.	250	-	-	242	-	-					
oss. sut. coronalis	167	1	0,6	174	3	1,7	0,23	0,335	0,21	0,644	
ossiculum prae frontale	102	1	1,0	106	2	1,9	0,30	0,584	-	0,973	
lam. orbit. partita	24	-	-	21	1	4,8	1,12	0,291	-	0,965	
oss. zygom. part.	185	1	0,5	197	2	1,0	0,28	0,599	-	0,956	
fiss. zygom. transv.	159	6	3,8	160	7	4,4	0,07	0,786	-	0,991	
oss. sut. zygo-max.	146	2	1,4	167	4	2,4	0,44	0,509	0,06	0,805	
sut. infraorbitale	125	80	64	123	81	65,9	0,09	0,760	0,03	0,863	2,6
sutura incisiva	224	82	36,6	222	81	36,5	0	0,979	0,01	0,943	
oss. epiptericum	85	11	12,9	88	14	15,9	0,31	0,579	0,11	0,735	2
sut. frontotemp.	154	3	1,9	151	-	-	2,97	0,085	1,31	0,253	
proc. front. os. temp.	121	5	4,1	124	4	3,2	0,14	0,706	-	0,970	
proc. temp. os. front.	118	1	0,8	123	2	1,6	0,3	0,589	-	0,971	
proc. pariet. os. sphen.	92	18	19,6	89	9	10,1	3,18	0,074	2,48	0,115	
oss. inc. pariet.	124	6	4,8	133	14	10,5	2,89	0,089	2,15	0,142	2
os pariet. part.	218	-	-	220	-	-					
oss. sut. squam.	81	1	1,2	83	1	1,2	-	0,986	0,48	0,488	
sq. tempor. part.	152	2	1,3	151	1	0,7	0,33	0,565	0	0,995	
sut. squamom.	189	17	9,0	184	21	11,4	0,6	0,441	0,36	0,548	2
proc. mast. bipart.	165	4	2,4	157	5	3,2	0,17	0,679	0,01	0,940	
os. sut. lambdoid.	161	110	68,3	143	89	62,2	1,24	0,265	0,99	0,321	2,6
sut. mendosa	163	3	1,8	159	2	1,3	0,18	0,673	0	0,978	
oss. asterii	125	7	5,6	131	7	5,3	0,01	0,928	0,03	0,853	
oss. sut. occipit.	109	4	3,7	113	5	4,4	0,08	0,776	0	0,956	0,2
oss. acromii	54	-	-	55	1	1,8	0,99	0,320	0	0,993	
oss. coracoid.	76	-	-	60	-	-					
oss. styl. radii	59	-	-	52	-	-					
oss. styl. ulnae	38	-	-	25	-	-					
synost. acet. incompl. (co)	126	-	-	119	-	-					

Trait	Right Side			Left Side			χ^2 test		Yates' correction		McNemar test
	N	Inci- dence	%	N	Inci- dence	%	χ^2	p	χ^2	p	χ^2
oss. trigon. tali (Ta)	128	-	-	122	-	-					
fac. condyl. bipartita	110	5	4,5	105	2	1,9	1,19	0,276	0,5	0,480	
fac. art. sup. part. (C1)	101	20	19,8	102	17	16,7	0,33	0,563	0,16	0,692	0,7
fac. art. cost. C7	64	-	-	62	1	1,6	1,04	0,308	0	0,987	
fac. art. cost. L1	45	-	-	42	-	-					
fac. art. sacral. acces. (Sa)	34	4	11,8	32	2	6,3	0,61	0,436	0,12	0,726	
fac. art. sacr. acc. (Co)	60	6	10	56	3	5,4	0,87	0,350	0,34	0,557	
fac. art. acces. cost. (Cl)	121	70	57,9	107	53	49,5	1,58	0,209	1,26	0,261	7,3
fac. art. acromialis	28	27	96,4	30	28	93,3	0,28	0,595	0	0,951	
fac. art. acromialis absens	26	1	3,8	32	4	12,5	1,36	0,243	0,49	0,486	
fac. art. proc. corac.	52	11	21,2	34	6	17,6	0,16	0,690	0,01	0,903	
fac. art. carp. part. (Ra)	68	8	11,8	54	3	5,6	1,41	0,234	0,76	0,384	
fac. art. trochl. part. (Ul)	72	22	30,6	66	22	33,3	0,12	0,727	0,03	0,867	
inc. radial. part. (Ul)	72	2	2,8	67	-	-	1,89	0,169	0,44	0,508	
fac. lunata part. (Co)	126	-	-	118	-	-					
facies Poirieri (Fe)	69	9	13,0	72	8	11,1	0,12	0,725	0,01	0,926	
riding facet (Fe)	128	-	-	123	-	-					
facies Charlesi (Fe)	62	22	35,5	75	30	40	0,29	0,588	0,13	0,715	
fac. art. cond. media (Fe)	61	29	47,5	74	32	43,2	0,25	0,618	0,11	0,745	
facies Charlesi+fac. art. cond. media (Fe)	123	51	41,5	149	62	41,6	-	0,980	0,01	0,921	
patella multipartita	72	-	-	70	-	-					
fac. art. tib. acc. med. (Ti)	46	3	6,5	45	4	8,9	0,18	0,672	-	0,976	
fac. art. tib. acc. lat. (Ti)	61	50	82,0	51	37	72,5	1,42	0,233	0,93	0,335	
fac. art. tal. ant. et med. (Ca)	142	61	43,0	126	59	46,8	0,4	0,525	0,26	0,608	
fac. art. tal. a. et m. com. (Ca)	149	86	57,7	125	70	56,0	0,08	0,775	0,03	0,870	4
fac. art. tal. ant. bipart. (Ca)	149	-	-	137	1	0,7	1,09	0,296	-	0,966	
fac. art. tal. med. bipart. (Ca)	152	-	-	140	-	-					
fac. art. tal. ant. absens (Ca)	148	6	4,1	132	4	3,0	0,21	0,645	0,02	0,8901	
fac. art. calc. ant. et med. (Ta)	142	20	14,1	129	24	18,6	1,02	0,314	0,71	0,3993	
fac. art. calc. a. et m. com. (Ta)	146	124	84,9	126	103	81,7	0,5	0,481	0,29	0,5882	
fac. art. calc. ant. absens (Ta)	147	2	1,4	127	2	1,6	0,02	0,883	0,13	0,7207	
fac. art. med. tal. (Ta)	90	3	3,3	81	3	3,7	0,02	0,895	0,08	0,7758	
proc. troch. tali lat. (Ta)	97	7	7,2	97	7	7,2	-	1	0,08	0,7814	
proc. troch. tali med. (Ta)	12	-	-	9	-	-					
for. supratroch.	111	13	11,7	115	22	19,1	2,38	0,123	1,84	0,175	3,6
inc. fac. lunatae	121	30	24,8	107	24	22,4	0,18	0,675	0,07	0,793	
plate formation	67	5	7,5	73	6	8,2	0,03	0,868	0,02	0,882	
fossa Alleni	92	1	1,1	95	4	4,2	1,75	0,186	0,76	0,384	

Trait	Right Side			Left Side			χ^2 test		Yates' correction		McNemar test
	N	Inci- dence	%	N	Inci- dence	%	χ^2	p	χ^2	p	χ^2
sulcus frontalis	151	48	31,8	158	59	37,3	1,05	0,305	0,82	0,365	8,2
for. et inc. supratr.	237	1	0,4	247	1	0,4	-	0,977	0,46	0,497	
for. et inc. frontalis	222	204	91,9	231	208	90,0	0,47	0,493	0,27	0,602	0,4
foramen frontale	218	45	20,6	225	46	20,4	-	0,959	-	0,947	0,3
incisura frontalis	208	169	81,3	214	167	78,0	0,67	0,413	0,49	0,485	0,3
for. et inc. suporb.	235	11	4,7	241	8	3,3	0,58	0,448	0,27	0,600	0,5
foramen supraorb.	241	11	4,6	245	6	2,4	1,61	0,205	1,04	0,307	1,7
incisura supraorb.	243	1	0,4	253	1	0,4	-	0,977	0,46	0,496	
for. nasale absens	88	10	11,4	77	4	5,2	2,01	0,156	1,3	0,255	
for. zygomat. absens	193	13	6,7	199	11	5,5	0,25	0,618	0,08	0,773	0,1
for. ethmoidale	85	82	96,5	84	82	97,6	0,19	0,660	-	0,989	
can. opticus part.	97	-	-	101	1	1,0	0,97	0,326	-	0,984	
for. infraorb. absens	154	-	-	149	-	-					
for. infraorb. part.	147	6	4,1	145	6	4,1	-	0,981	0,07	0,787	
depr. bipar. circum.	257	-	-	260	-	-					
for. pariet. absens	156	84	53,8	171	106	62,0	2,22	0,136	1,9	0,168	3,0
for. pariet. inf.	131	-	-	126	-	-					
proc. pariet. sq. temp.	111	1	0,9	107	2	1,9	0,38	0,540	-	0,975	
for. squam. sup.	124	3	2,4	119	1	0,8	0,94	0,334	0,21	0,644	
for. mastoid. intras.	91	36	39,6	85	32	37,6	0,07	0,795	0,01	0,916	0,7
for. mastoid. extras.	100	59	59,0	93	53	57,0	0,08	0,777	0,02	0,891	0,2
for. tympan.	218	22	10,1	214	19	8,9	0,19	0,667	0,07	0,790	0,4
for. marginale	223	13	5,8	225	6	2,7	2,92	0,088	2,16	0,142	0,8
for. condylare	122	66	54,1	110	60	54,5	-	0,946	-	0,949	4,5
can. condylaris	124	45	36,3	119	47	39,5	0,27	0,607	0,15	0,702	0,3
can. condyl. interm.	141	3	2,1	137	1	0,7	0,96	0,328	0,23	0,635	
for. hypogl. bipart.	182	24	13,2	177	31	17,5	2,41	0,120	1,98	0,159	0,0
for. spin. incompl.	87	21	24,1	98	20	20,4	0,37	0,542	0,19	0,665	0,1
for. ovale incompl.	124	2	1,6	133	3	2,3	0,14	0,709	0,01	0,937	
for. ovale et spin. confl.	128	2	1,6	134	2	1,5	-	0,963	0,21	0,647	
for. Vesalii	134	15	11,2	141	15	10,6	0,02	0,883	0	0,964	0,5
for. ovale part.	140	2	1,4	149	2	1,3	-	0,950	0,19	0,659	
for. mentale part.	272	1	0,4	273	1	0,4	-	0,998	0,5	0,480	
for. mentale absens	270	1	0,4	270	1	0,4	-	1	0,5	0,479	
pont. mylohyoid.	205	7	3,4	195	6	3,1	0,04	0,849	0,01	0,927	0,1
sulc. myloh. absens	213	2	0,9	207	2	1,0	-	0,977	0,22	0,636	
for. mandib. access.	179	13	7,3	170	8	4,7	1,01	0,315	0,61	0,436	
for. pr. trans. part. C1-7	42	38	90,5	35	31	88,6	0,07	0,785	0,01	0,919	
for. pr. trans. part. C1	51	1	2,0	66	-	-	1,31	0,253	0,02	0,897	
for. pr. trans. part. C2	79	-	-	81	2	2,5	1,98	0,160	0,48	0,488	

Trait	Right Side			Left Side			χ^2 test		Yates' correction		McNemar test
	N	Incidence	%	N	Incidence	%	χ^2	p	χ^2	p	χ^2
for. pr. trans. part. C3	63	-	-	60	-	-					
for. pr. trans. part. C4	65	3	4,6	66	2	3,0	0,22	0,636	-	0,986	
for. pr. trans. part. C5	64	17	26,6	62	14	22,6	0,27	0,604	0,1	0,755	0,4
for. pr. trans. part. C6	57	34	59,6	49	26	53,1	0,47	0,495	0,24	0,627	0,8
for. pr. trans. part. C7	41	4	9,8	38	6	15,8	0,65	0,420	0,22	0,640	
for. pr. trans. apart. C1-7	14	4	28,6	14	4	28,6	-	1,000	0,17	0,676	
for. pr. trans. apart. C1	49	3	6,1	60	2	3,3	0,48	0,489	0,05	0,816	
for. pr. trans. apart. C2	80	2	2,5	77	2	2,6	-	0,969	0,22	0,640	
for. pr. trans. apart. C3	60	-	-	56	-	-					
for. pr. trans. apart. C4	67	-	-	61	-	-					
for. pr. trans. apart. C5	65	-	-	64	-	-					
for. pr. trans. apart. C6	55	-	-	51	-	-					
for. pr. trans. apart. C7	45	-	-	41	1	2,4	1,11	0,292	-	0,963	
pont. os. sphenoid.	120	3	2,5	119	5	4,2	0,53	0,462	0,14	0,710	
proc. pter.-spinos.	121	1	0,8	120	2	1,7	0,35	0,556	-	0,994	
proc. pter.-alaris	123	3	2,4	123	4	3,3	0,15	0,701	-	1,000	
ponticuli sellae	86	11	12,8	83	12	14,5	0,1	0,752	0,1	0,927	
pont. clinoid medius	95	9	9,5	93	9	9,7	0	0,962	0,04	0,841	
pont. carot.-clinoid.	98	5	5,1	88	1	1,1	2,34	0,126	1,24	0,266	
pont. interclinoid.	115	2	1,7	116	1	0,9	0,35	0,556	-	0,994	
taenie interclinoid.	107	1	0,9	107	1	0,9	-	1,000	0,5	0,477	
pont. mylohyoid.	205	7	3,4	195	6	3,1	0,04	0,849	0,01	0,927	0,1
pont. atlantis	87	5	5,7	93	3	3,2	0,67	0,412	0,21	0,647	
pont. atlantis lat.	96	1	1,0	104	1	1,0	-	0,955	0,43	0,513	
pont. atlantis post.	96	5	5,2	100	3	3,0	0,61	0,435	0,18	0,675	
for. suprascap.	106	4	3,8	101	4	4,0	-	0,944	0,08	0,771	
spina trochlearis	167	30	18,0	162	21	13,0	1,57	0,212	1,21	0,271	2,0
tuberc. marginale	164	88	53,7	157	85	54,1	0,01	0,931	-	0,980	0,4
tuberc. zygomax.	137	29	21,2	138	27	19,6	0,11	0,741	0,03	0,857	
torus maxillaris	217	2	0,9	228	3	1,3	0,16	0,693	-	0,956	
depres. suprameat.	207	87	42,0	200	85	42,5	0,01	0,923	-	0,997	2,3
spina suprameat.	210	93	44,3	196	77	39,3	1,04	0,308	0,85	0,358	4,0
torus acusticus	238	29	12,2	238	33	13,9	0,3	0,586	0,17	0,683	3,0
proc. retromast.	27	2	7,4	24	2	8,3	0,02	0,902	0,01	0,903	
tuberc. praecond.	171	6	3,5	168	5	3,0	0,08	0,782	-	0,976	
proc. paracond.	68	-	-	68	2	2,9	2,03	0,154	0,21	0,476	
torus mandibul.	274	3	1,1	262	2	0,8	0,16	0,690	-	0,960	
proc. supracond.	160	1	0,6	162	2	1,2	0,32	0,569	-	0,991	
for. supracond.	183	-	-	182	-	-					
troch. tertius	99	15	15,2	105	13	12,4	0,33	0,566	0,14	0,711	0,1

Trait	Right Side			Left Side			χ^2 test		Yates' correction		McNemar test
	N	Incidence	%	N	Incidence	%	χ^2	p	χ^2	p	χ^2
linea nuchae supr.	96	37	38,5	95	38	40,0	0,04	0,837	0	0,954	
fossa costoclavic.	125	25	20	122	23	18,9	0,05	0,820	0	0,947	0,25
fossa pector. maj.	163	12	7,4	142	5	3,5	2,13	0,145	1,46	0,227	
fossa teres	162	5	3,1	150	7	4,7	0,53	0,488	0,19	0,667	
fossa bicipitis	90	10	11,1	82	11	13,4	0,21	0,645	0,05	0,820	
fossa hypotroch.	177	15	8,5	183	14	7,7	0,08	0,774	0,01	0,925	
crista hypotroch.	125	42	33,6	122	43	35,2	0,07	0,786	0,02	0,890	-
fossa gastrocn.	79	4	5,1	85	5	5,9	0,05	0,818	0,01	0,910	
inc.musc. vasti lat.	61	7	11,5	64	9	14,1	0,19	0,665	0,03	0,869	
fossa. musc. vasti lat.	69	-	-	69	-	-					
fossa solei	131	21	16,0	122	22	18,0	0,18	0,672	0,07	0,798	
crista solei	100	31	31	89	20	22,5	1,74	0,187	1,33	0,248	

Table 7. Incidence of bilateral non-metric traits and preference of a symmetrical/asymmetrical incidence.

Explanatory notes: traits with a preference for a symmetrical incidence are in bold type, in the case of preference for an asymmetrical incidence they are in bold italics.

	Frequency				N	χ^2 test		Yates' correction		McNemar test	
	2	21	22	1		χ^2	p	χ^2	p	A/D	B/C
oss.sut. coronalis		1	2	147	150	2,97	0,0848	1,31	0,2529	148,01	139,32
ossiculum praefrontale		1	1	95	97	1,98	0,1584	0,48	0,4863	95,01	89,25
fiss. zygom. transv.	4		1	104	109	1,76	0,1846	0,76	0,382	95,72	104,08
oss. sut. zygo-max.		1	2	111	114	2,96	0,853	1,3	0,2544	112,01	103,42
sut. infraorbitale	52	4	10	30	96	16,64	0	15,49	0,0001	12,49	59,65
sutura incisiva	74	4	3	131	212	47,27	0	45,7	0	65,03	190,03
oss. epiptericum	6	2	6	56	70	0,26	0,6103	0,05	0,8186	52,22	47,71
sut. frontotemp.		3		118	121	2,96	0,0852	1,3	0,2541	119,01	110,4
proc. front. os. temp.	2	3	2	85	92	1,24	0,2657	0,53	0,4667	84,27	76,25
proc. temp. os. front.	1		1	87	89	1	0,5	0,477	84,1	84,1	
proc. pariet. os. sphen.	7	5	1	54	67	0,07	0,7912	0	0,9795	47,04	49,32
oss. inc. pariet.	3	2	6	89	100	2,16	0,1421	1,34	0,2467	89,48	76,68
sut. squamom.	9	2	6	119	136	0,06	0,814	0	0,9883	109,49	112,01
proc. mast. bipart.	1	2	1	107	111	0,98	0,3216	0,24	0,6265	106,08	100,43
os. sut. lambdoid.	66	20	11	42	139	9,44	0,0021	8,73	0,0031	25,29	67,35
sut. mendosa	2			137	139	1,98	0,1588	0,49	0,4842	131,18	137,01
oss. asterii	4	2		99	105	0,65	0,4208	0,15	0,6958	91,74	97,23
oss. sut. occipit.	1	2	3	85	91	2,58	0,108	1,42	0,2332	86,1	75,26
fac. condyl. bipartita	2	3		82	87	0,19	0,6593	-	0,9899	79,28	76,54
fac. art. sup. part. (C1)	11	8	5	73	97	0,15	0,7001	0,03	0,866	66,9	62,63
fac. art. sacral. acces. (Sa)	1	3	1	25	30	1,67	0,1969	0,68	0,4097	25,29	18,38

	Frequency				N	χ^2 test		Yates' correction		McNemar test	
	2	21	22	1		χ^2	p	χ^2	p	A/D	B/C
fac. art. sacr. acc. (Co)	1	3	1	42	47	1,71	0,1909	0,72	0,396	42,19	34,59
fac. art. acces. cost. (Cl)	38	18	5	43	104	2,86	0,0908	2,39	0,1222	29,75	50,39
fac. art. acromialis	18	2		1	21	9,29	0,0023	7,65	0,0057	0,1 (,7488)	14,09 (,002)
fac. art. acromialis absens	1		2	18	21	0,31	0,5769	-	0,9682	164,41	14,09
fac. art. proc. corac.	4	2		18	24	0,59	0,4411	0,11	0,7361	12,89 (,0003)	16,96
fac. art. carp. part. (Ra)	3	3		36	42	-	1	0,18	0,6726	32,09	32,09
fac. art. trochl. part.(Ul)	14	3	1	33	51	4,76	0,0292	3,7	0,0544	19,94	38,47
inc. radial. part. (Ul)		1		48	49	0,99	0,3197	0	0,9919	47,02	44,18
facies Poirieri (Fe)	5		1	41	47	2,51	0,1131	1,35	0,2448	32,33	42,19
facies Charlesi (Fe)	18		3	32	53	9,07	0,0026	7,68	0,0056	16,28	42,88
fac. art. cond. media (Fe)	21	1	1	28	51	13,11	0,0003	11,47	0,0007	11,68	43,47
facies Charlesi+fac. art. cond. media (Fe)	40		3	60	103	26,93	0	25,2	0	26,88	92,46
fac. art. tib. acc. med. (Ti)	1	1	1	24	27	0,32	0,5441	-	0,9751	22,32	19,86
fac. art. tib. acc. lat. (Ti)	24	2	2	7	35	10,65	0,0011	9,21	0,0024	1,69 (,1930)	23,08
fac. art. tal. ant. et med. (Ca)	45	5	10	60	120	12,12	0,0005	11,13	0,0008	33,19	80,12
fac. art. tal. a. et m. com. (Ca)	60	12	4	50	126	19,93	0	18,77	0	22,72	83,67
fac. art. tal. ant. bipart. (Ca)			1	123	124	1	0,3183	-	0,9968	122,01	119,07
fac. art. tal. ant. absens (Ca)	1	1	2	117	121	0,98	0,3213	0,24	0,6257	116,07	110,4
fac. art. calc. ant. et med.(Ta)	14	1	5	91	111	2,94	0,0865	2,19	0,1387	73,73	92,44
fac. art. calc. a. et m. com. (Ta)	90	5	1	16	112	55,26	0	53,39	0	2,18	93,43
fac. art. calc. ant.absens (Ta)	1	1		111	113	-	1	0,5	0,4776	108,08	108,08
fac. art. med. tal. (Ta)	2	1		55	58	0,32	0,5687	-	0,9882	50,42	53,15
proc. troch. tali lat.(Ta)	4	1	1	67	73	0,64	0,4235	0,15	0,7012	60,05	65,33
for. supratroch. (Hu)	7	2	8	72	89	0,48	0,4869	0,19	0,659	68,34	61,45
inc.fac. lunatae (Co)	22	5		73	100	9,48	0,0021	8,26	0,0041	48,6	84,15
plate formation (Fe)	4	1	1	42	48	0,63	0,4282	0,14	0,7104	35,56	40,5
sulcus frontalis	30	5	19	76	130	0,55	0,4574	0,35	0,5528	61,26	71,59
for. et inc.supratr.		1	1	209	211	1,99	0,1583	0,49	0,4826	209	203,12
for. et inc. frontalis	170	12	9	11	202	84,2	0	82,55	0	2,58 (0,108)	145,29
foramen frontale	22	18	15	149	204	1,94	0,1637	1,56	0,2116	144,96	121,94
incisura frontalis	124	28	24	27	203	20,87	0	20,04	0	18,61	88,24
for. et inc. suprorb.		10	7	191	208	16,36	0,0001	14,42	0,0001	206	160,44
foramen supraorb.		10	5	196	211	14,5	0,0001	12,57	0,0004	209	168,25
incisura supraorb.	1			210	211	1	0,3179	-	0,9981	206,04	209
for. nasale absens	3	5	1	69	78	0,95	0,3308	0,4	0,5289	67,6	60,01
for. zygomat. absens	2	4	5	136	147	4,3	0,0382	3,12	0,0775	139,17	120,31

	Frequency				N	χ^2 test		Yates' correction		McNemar test	
	2	21	22	1		χ^2	p	χ^2	p	A/D	B/C
for. ethmoidale	65			2	67	49	0	46,78	0	0,01 (0,9306)	65,01
can. opticus part.			1	82	83	0,99	0,3188	-	0,9952	81,01	78,11
for. infraorb. part.	4	1	1	107	113	0,65	0,4203	0,15	0,6949	99,69	105,22
depr. bipar. circum.	1			234	235	1	0,3178	-	0,9983	230,04	233
for. pariet. absens	59	22	35	54	170	0,03	0,8726	-	0,9579	52,84	55,26
proc. pariet. sq. temp.	1		1	72	74	-	1	0,51	0,4766	69,12	69,12
for. squam. sup.	1	1		75	77	-	1	0,54	0,4767	72,12	72,12
for. mastoid. intras.	17	10	14	32	73	0,93	0,3337	0,62	0,4297	33,61	23,75
for. mastoid. extras.	24	13	11	27	75	-	1	0,03	0,8683	25,25	25,25
for. tympan.	10	6	4	160	180	-	1	0,05	0,8183	150,32	150,32
for. marginale	2	7	4	174	187	6,02	0,0141	4,72	0,02898	179,13	154,67
for. condylare	35	16	6	35	92	2,27	0,1319	1,84	0,1754	24,69	41,76
can. condylaris	25	9	7	57	98	1,64	0,2009	1,23	0,2682	42,15	57,55
can. condyl. interm.		2	1	110	113	2,96	0,0853	1,3	0,2545	111,01	102,42
for. hypogl. bipart.	5	18	18	129	170	21,06	0	19,56	0	153,69	85,87
for. spin. incompl.	10	6	5	45	66	0,04	0,8394	-	0,9743	39,8	37,87
for. ovale incompl.	1	1	1	92	95	0,33	0,5667	-	0,9928	90,09	87,26
for. ovale et spin. confl.	1	1		96	98	-	1	0,51	0,4773	93,09	93,09
for. Vesalii	9	3	5	89	106	0,05	0,8155	-	0,9851	80,14	82,54
for. ovale part.	2			106	108	1,98	0,1592	0,49	0,4856	100,23	106,01
for. mentale part.	1			252	253	1	0,3178	-	0,9984	248,04	251
for. mentale absens	1			250	251	1	0,3178	-	0,9984	246,04	249
sulc. myloh. absens	2			159	161	1,99	0,1586	0,49	0,4836	153,15	159,01
for. mandib. access.	4	5	2	115	126	0,78	0,3759	0,33	0,5637	112,62	104,69
for. pr. trans. part. C1-7	20	3	1	3	27	7,71	0,0055	6,38	0,0115	,77 (0,3815)	15,61 (0,0001)
for. pr. trans. part. C1		1		36	37	0,99	0,3205	-	0,9893	35,03	32,24
for. pr. trans. part. C2			2	64	66	1,96	0,1604	0,48	0,4894	64,02	58,37
for. pr. trans. part. C4			2	49	51	1,96	0,1613	0,47	0,4923	49,02	43,47
for. pr. trans. part. C5	9	6	4	32	51	0,04	0,8332	-	0,9687	28,02	26,23
for. pr. trans. part. C6	18	7	4	14	43	1,27	0,2601	0,83	0,3623	9,44 (0,0021)	17,8
for. pr. trans. part. C7	1		5	21	27	2,41	0,1205	1,26	0,2621	22,32	13,78
for. pr. trans. apart. C1-7	3	1		4	8	0,81	0,3687	0,11	0,736	1,45 (0,2278)	4 (0,0455)
for. pr. trans. apart. C1	2	1		32	35	0,32	0,5718	-	0,9807	27,68	30,25
for. pr. trans. apart. C2	1			62	63	0,99	0,3192	-	0,9937	58,14	61,02
pont. os. sphenoid.		2	2	90	94	3,92	0,0478	2,17	0,1405	92,01	80,83
proc. pter.-spinos.		1	1	91	93	1,98	0,1595	0,48	0,4866	91,01	85,26
proc. pter.-alaris		2	2	93	97	3,92	0,0477	2,18	0,1403	95,01	83,8
ponticuli sellae	9	2	2	66	79	1,78	0,1824	1,09	0,2961	54,1	65,98
pont. clinoid medius	6	3	2	79	90	0,09	0,7698	-	0,9857	71,76	74,27

	Frequency				N	χ^2 test		Yates' correction		McNemar test	
	2	21	22	1		χ^2	p	χ^2	p	A/D	B/C
pont. carot.-clinoid.	1	2		83	86	0,33	0,5671	-	0,992	81,1	78,28
pont. interclinoid.	1			106	107	1	0,3184	-	0,9963	102,08	105,01
taenie interclinoid.	1			99	100	1	0,3197	-	0,996	95,09	98,01
pont. mylohyoid.	1	5	4	146	156	6,21	0,0127	4,71	0,03	151,06	129,19
pont. atlantis	2	2		70	74	-	1	0,26	0,6124	66,33	66,33
pont. atlantis lat.	1			82	83	0,99	0,3188	-	0,9952	78,11	81,01
pont. atlantis post.	2	3		78	83	0,19	0,6595	-	0,9894	75,29	72,57
for. suprascap.	1	2	2	71	76	2,57	0,1091	1,41	0,2357	71,12	60,49
spina trochlearis	13	12	6	111	142	0,73	0,3938	0,44	0,5069	105,7	94,56
tuberc. marginale	56	6	4	44	110	25,32	0	23,91	0	16,92	81,68
tuberc. zygomax.	19	3	2	69	93	7,27	0,007	6,14	0,0132	47,58	77,23
torus maxillaris	1	1		197	199	-	1	0,5	0,4784	194,04	194,04
depres. suprameat.	59	14	7	91	171	14,75	0,0001	13,81	0,0002	53,57	115,63
spina suprameat.	59	12	4	94	169	20,4	0	19,25	0	52,11	124,89
torus acusticus	21	3	9	176	209	2,28	0,1314	1,76	0,1843	152,04	173,83
proc. retromast.	2			5	7	1,78	0,1824	0,33	0,5677	1,78 (0,1824)	5,14 (0,0233)
tuberc. praecond.	3	2	2	153	160	0,14	0,7085	-	0,9935	149,3	146,46
proc. paracond.			1	51	52	0,99	0,3196	-	0,9924	50,02	47,17
torus mandibul.	2	1		246	249	0,33	0,5649	-	0,9972	241,1	244,04
proc. supracond.	1		1	132	134	0	1	0,5	0,4779	129,07	129,09
troch. tertius	8	5	4	64	81	0,05	0,8175	-	0,9807	58,25	56,01
linea nuchae supr.	36			55	91	30,9	0	28,88	0	22,96	89,01
fossa costoclavic.	13	9	7	78	107	0,27	0,6011	0,11	0,7452	72,07	65,85
fossa pector. maj.	5	5		109	119	-	1	0,1	0,7468	102,98	102,98
fossa teres	1	3	4	117	125	4,36	0,0367	2,99	0,0837	120,07	103,7
fossa bicipitis	4		2	49	55	0,63	0,4265	0,14	0,707	42,37	47,44
fossa hypotroch.	11	2	3	142	158	2,14	0,1433	1,46	0,2273	126,13	141,74
crista hypotroch.	28	5	5	68	106	7,27	0,007	6,35	0,0118	44,25	77,8
fossa gastrocn.	3		2	66	71	0,19	0,6603	-	0,9877	60,66	63,34
fossa Alleni (Fe)	1		1	61	63	-	1	0,51	0,476	58,14	58,14
inc. musc. vasti lat. (Pa)	4	1	4	29	38	0,1	0,7526	-	0,9702	25,93	23,81
fossa solei	15	3	4	71	93	2,61	0,1065	1,93	0,165	54,9	72,25
crista solei	15	5		47	67	4,37	0,0366	3,42	0,0643	31,72	51,68

Table 9. Comparison of the population group from the sub-castle (Kostelisko) and the castle on the basis of the measure of divergence. Traits in which both groups differed significantly statistically are in bold type.

traits	Mikulčice sub-castle			Mikulčice-castle			Measure of Divergence					
	N	Inci- dence	%	N	incid- Ince	%	MD	p=0,05	p=0,01	S ² MD	Left Endpoint of 95 % Confidence Interval	Right Endpoint of 95 % Confidence Interval
sutura metopica	297	23	7,7	967	98	10,1	0,01122	0,01320	0,32209	0,00695	-0,15216	0,17460
oss. sut. coronalis	151	4	2,65	698	30	4,3	0,00884	0,02417	1,70000	0,02474	-0,29945	0,31714
oss. epiptericum	75	19	25,33	394	76	19,3	0,04366	0,04761	0,39474	0,00262	-0,05660	0,14393
sut. frontotemp.	121	3	2,48	457	12	2,6	-0,01026	0,03136	2,50000	0,02710	-0,33292	0,31241
oss. bregmatic.	217	1	0,5	719	1	0,1	0,00047	0,01800	12,00000	0,02036	-0,27921	0,28014
oss. sut. sagittal.	180	13	7,2	692	30	4,3	0,02540	0,02100	0,66154	0,00534	-0,11786	0,16866
oss. lambdae	99	20	20,2	702	125	17,8	-0,00172	0,03458	0,34800	0,00047	-0,04419	0,04074
oss. sut. lambdoid. abs.	175	42	24,0	658	281	42,7	0,67669	0,02170	0,16421	0,01098	0,47131	0,88208
fac. condyl. bipartita	87	5	5,7	476	10	2,1	0,06425	0,04079	1,80000	0,01016	-0,13330	0,26179
enlargement cap. femoris	53	19	35,8	406	210	51,7	0,18027	0,06399	0,34436	0,03531	-0,18805	0,54859
for. supraorbitale	213	17	8,0	894	198	22,1	0,39614	0,01744	0,38324	0,02227	0,10362	0,68866
incisura supraorbitalis	210	1	0,5	870	400	46,0	5,59914	0,01773	6,01500	0,16526	4,80235	6,39594
for. ethmoidale absens	101	2	2,0	241	4	1,7	-0,01268	0,04215	4,50000	0,03634	-0,38632	0,36096
for. infraorb. part.	116	8	6,9	512	1	0,2	0,39164	0,03172	6,75000	0,00088	0,33359	0,44969
for. hypogl. bipart.	179	50	27,9	546	15	2,7	1,46881	0,02225	0,52000	0,02647	1,14991	1,78771
for. ovale incompletum	96	3	3,1	620	33	5,3	0,01357	0,03609	2,18182	0,03657	-0,36125	0,38839
torus palatinus	221	44	19,9	605	147	24,3	0,02515	0,01853	0,17718	0,00188	-0,05982	0,11012
torus acusticus	217	41	18,9	882	33	3,7	0,58716	0,01723	0,32816	0,00322	0,47595	0,69836
tuberc. pharyng. absens	196	55	28,1	583	194	33,3	0,03984	0,02045	0,14002	0,00021	0,01120	0,06847
troch. tertius	84	20	23,8	668	194	29,0	0,03112	0,04021	0,33093	0,00216	-0,05991	0,12215
fossa pector. maj.	121	12	9,9	760	98	12,9	0,01116	0,02874	0,56122	0,01223	-0,20562	0,22793
fossa teres	128	11	8,6	748	111	14,8	0,07965	0,02745	0,59951	0,01898	-0,19039	0,34970
fossa pector. maj. et teres	128	2	1,6	755	40	5,3	0,08634	0,02741	3,15000	0,04272	-0,31877	0,49146
fossa hypotroch.	160	18	11,3	824	349	42,4	1,81234	0,02239	0,35053	0,05577	1,34946	2,27521
fossa solei	99	28	28,3	733	129	17,6	0,17602	0,03440	0,26080	0,00758	0,00535	0,34670

Table 11. Comparison of the population groups from the sub-castle (Kostelisko) and hinterland (Josefov) using the measure of divergence (on the basis of the same traits as in the case of the castle/sub-castle comparison). The traits in which both groups differed statistically significantly are in bold type.

traits	Mikulčice sub-castle			Mikulčice-castle			Measure of divergence					
	N	inci- dence	%	N	inci- dence	%	MD	p=0,05	p=0,01	S 2 MD	Left Endpoint of 95 % Confidence Interval I	Right Endpoint of 95 % Confidence Interval
sutura metopica	297	23	7,7	117	12	10,3	0,00499	0,03574	0,07148	0,01920	-0,26660	0,27658
oss. sut. coronalis	151	4	2,65	86	11	12,8	0,33697	0,05475	0,10950	0,10640	-0,30237	0,97630
oss. epiptericum	75	19	25,33	54	18	33,3	0,07508	0,09556	0,19111	0,00827	-0,10317	0,25332
sut. frontotemp.	121	3	2,48	51	1	2,0	-0,02506	0,08362	0,16723	0,06502	-0,52484	0,47471
oss. bregmatic.	217	1	0,5	93	-	-	-0,00890	0,04608	0,09217	0,05242	-0,45766	0,43987
oss. sut. sagittal.	180	13	7,2	103	10	9,7	0,00242	0,04579	0,09159	0,02659	-0,31716	0,32201
oss. lambdae	99	20	20,2	87	13	14,9	0,02725	0,06479	0,12957	0,00097	-0,03369	0,08818
oss. sut. lambdaoid. abs.	175	42	24,0	89	44	49,4	1,93941	0,05085	0,10170	0,07074	1,41810	2,46073
fac. condyl. bipartita	87	5	5,7	43	3	7,0	-0,02913	0,10425	0,20850	0,06567	-0,53139	0,47314
enlargement cap. femoris	53	19	35,8	53	5	9,4	1,21666	0,11321	0,22642	0,11440	0,55373	1,87959
for. et inc. suprorb.	210	19	9,0	98	4	4,1	0,07384	0,04490	0,08980	0,00509	-0,06606	0,21373
for. ethmoidale absens	101	2	2,0	39	2	5,1	0,02746	0,10663	0,21325	0,14566	-0,72058	0,77550
for. infraorb. absens	115	-	-	80	2	2,5	0,10934	0,06359	0,12717	0,14482	-0,63655	0,85524
for. condylaris absens	126	35	27,8	49	7	14,3	0,27857	0,08503	0,17007	0,02675	-0,04201	0,59915
for. hypogl. bipart.	179	50	27,9	52	13	25,0	-0,01089	0,07445	0,14890	0,00400	-0,13480	0,11301
proc. marginalis absens	161	44	27,3	50	10	20,0	0,06081	0,07863	0,15727	0,03860	-0,32427	0,44590
torus palatinus	221	44	19,9	71	1	1,4	1,04229	0,05583	0,11166	0,02602	0,72610	1,35848
torus acusticus	217	41	18,9	75	3	4,0	0,54606	0,05382	0,10765	0,00979	0,35208	0,74004
tuberc. pharyng. absens	196	55	28,1	56	19	33,9	0,03658	0,06888	0,13776	0,00174	-0,04513	0,11828
troch. tertius	84	20	23,8	39	4	10,3	0,30234	0,11264	0,22527	0,02431	-0,00327	0,60796
linea nuchae supr.	94	39	41,5	81	21	25,9	0,44351	0,06895	0,13790	0,09167	-0,14992	1,03693
fossa pector. maj.	121	12	9,9	64	13	20,3	0,18771	0,07167	0,14334	0,05990	-0,29200	0,66743
fossa teres	128	11	8,6	62	8	12,9	0,02058	0,07182	0,14365	0,04229	-0,38250	0,42366
fossa hypotroch.	160	18	11,3	66	24	36,4	1,08741	0,06420	0,12841	0,11534	0,42176	1,75305
fossa solei	99	28	28,3	67	14	20,9	0,06318	0,07508	0,15016	0,01046	-0,13732	0,26368

Table 13. Comparison of the population groups from the castle and hinterland (Josefov) using the measure of divergence. The traits in which both groups differed statistically significantly are in bold type.

traits	Mikulčice sub-castle			Mikulčice-castle			Measure of Divergence					
	N	Inci- dence	%	N	Inci- dence	%	MD	p=0,05	p=0,01	S ² MD	Left Endpoint of 95 % Confidence Interval I	Right Endpoint of 95 % Confidence Interval I
sutura metopica	967	98	10,1	117	12	10,3	-0,00956	0,02874	0,05749	0,00806	-0,18549	0,16638
oss. sut. coronalis	698	30	4,30	86	11	12,8	0,20410	0,03918	0,07836	0,05466	-0,25413	0,66232
oss. epiptericum	394	76	19,3	54	18	33,3	0,30498	0,06317	0,12634	0,02791	-0,02244	0,63241
sut. frontotemp.	457	12	2,63	51	1	2,0	-0,01731	0,06539	0,13078	0,04801	-0,44676	0,41215
oss. bregmatic.	719	1	0,1	93	-	-	-0,01214	0,03643	0,07286	0,05215	-0,45974	0,43545
oss. sut. sagittal.	692	30	4,3	103	10	9,7	0,08682	0,03346	0,06692	0,03708	-0,29062	0,46426
oss. lambdae	702	125	17,8	87	13	14,9	0,00197	0,03876	0,07751	0,00108	-0,06246	0,06639
oss. sut. lambdoid. abs.	658	281	42,7	89	44	49,4	0,31626	0,03827	0,07653	0,00149	0,24068	0,39185
fac. condyl. bipartita	476	10	2,1	43	3	7,0	0,09996	0,07607	0,15214	0,11722	-0,57110	0,77102
for. ethmoidale abs.	241	4	1,7	39	2	5,1	0,05315	0,08937	0,17874	0,13458	-0,66588	0,77219
for. infraorb. abs.	512	1	0,2	80	2	2,5	0,15046	0,04336	0,08672	0,10834	-0,49466	0,79559
can. condylaris abs.	545	477	87,5	55	30	54,5	2,17631	0,06005	0,12010	0,16190	1,38767	2,96494
for. hypogl. bipart.	546	15	2,7	52	13	25,0	1,18235	0,06319	0,12637	0,20366	0,29783	2,06687
torus palatinus	605	147	24,3	71	1	1,4	1,44111	0,04721	0,09442	0,04425	1,02883	1,85340
torus acusticus	882	33	3,7	75	3	4,0	-0,01411	0,04340	0,08680	0,03141	-0,36149	0,33328
tuberc. pharyng. abs.	583	194	33,3	56	19	33,9	-0,01879	0,05872	0,11743	0,00453	-0,15068	0,11310
troch. tertius	668	194	29,0	39	4	10,3	0,60330	0,08141	0,16283	0,05118	0,15988	1,04672
fossa pector. maj.	760	98	12,9	64	13	20,3	0,08292	0,05082	0,10164	0,02341	-0,21698	0,38281
fossa teres	748	111	14,8	62	8	12,9	-0,00990	0,05240	0,10480	0,00444	-0,14046	0,12066
fossa hypotroch.	824	349	42,4	66	24	36,4	0,07125	0,04910	0,09819	0,03752	-0,30840	0,45090
fossa solei	733	129	17,6	67	14	20,9	0,00221	0,04887	0,09774	0,00675	-0,15885	0,16326